

City of Saco, Maine – “Unofficial Unedited YouTube Transcript”
of the August 17, 2020 Saco City Council Meeting

06:28 greetings and welcome to the sacco city
06:30 council meeting for
06:31 monday august 17th 2020
06:34 call this meeting to order at 6 31 pm
06:37 a recognition of members present i'll
06:40 let the record reflect that all
06:42 counselors are present including the
06:43 city administrator
06:45 with that i'll ask everyone to join us
06:48 in the pledge of allegiance
06:50 the flag is behind city administrator
06:53 kane wrath
06:57 i pledge allegiance to the flag of the
07:00 united states of america
07:02 and to the republic for which it stands
07:05 one nation
07:06 under god indivisible with liberty
07:10 and justice for all
07:16 thank you everyone for joining us
07:19 in that uh we are now moving on to item
07:22 four of the agenda we
07:23 under general we have item a review and
07:26 uh review council schedule council
07:30 in consultation with the city
07:32 administrator
07:34 we have decided if it's okay
07:38 that we will go ahead with a meeting on

07:40 the 14th of september
07:42 21st of september and 28th of september
07:46 all mondays and that will make it so
07:49 that
07:50 we will not be having a meeting uh
07:52 surrounding the
07:53 holiday weekend so therefore
07:57 folks can go away and enjoy their
07:59 holiday
08:00 uh with their family and social
08:04 distancing with others if they
08:06 if they choose to but um
08:09 that that is the proposed schedule at
08:12 this moment in time we are unsure
08:14 of whether those will be live we will be
08:17 back in council chambers
08:19 or if we will be continuing to zoom we
08:21 will know more
08:23 once there's an update from governor
08:24 mills uh
08:26 around her september 3rd
08:29 extension so with that that is the
08:32 proposed council schedule
08:35 for moving forward into september
08:40 uh if the one thing i would like to
08:45 get a sense of from the council is
08:51 if the if it's allowed does the council

08:55
want to come back to city hall chambers
08:57
in the month of september uh if it can
09:00
be done safely
09:05
yes yeah if it's safe
09:12
i vote no i'm in favor of if we can do
09:16
it safely
09:18
i'll defer to your judgment mayor on
09:19
that one
09:22
it's totally either way it's fine with
09:24
me
09:27
thank you all so uh we will have an
09:29
update uh
09:30
somewhere around the third but uh the
09:33
the
09:34
dates for the next council meeting are
09:36
the 14th 21st and 28th
09:38
of september
09:42
with that we are moving on to item five
09:44
which is committee correspondence to
09:46
council
09:47
are there any committee correspondents
09:48
to report
09:50
yes mr mayor council of minton
09:54
uh we had our uh shuttle bus
09:57
transit meeting um last week
10:01
everything's been going quite well
10:05
scheduled deliveries are all moving
10:07
forward
10:09
transit's looking forward to renewing
10:11

the lease at the train station with
10:13
tonight's document
10:15
all in all everything's been good
10:17
ridership's been creeping up slowly
10:20
and getting back to normal levels
10:23
occasionally at peak
10:24
times which more people were
10:29
using the trolleys over at the beach but
10:33
again it's coming up slowly so
10:36
i'm i'm sure a lot of that's due to the
10:38
fact that maybe there aren't as many
10:40
people
10:40
at the beach so we're only seeing
10:43
the maybe the same rider ships just
10:45
fewer people are there this year
10:47
anyway that's about it from the shuttle
10:49
bus thank you councilman
10:51
councillor gunn
10:55
uh thank you mr mayor i'm reading a
10:57
letter on behalf of
10:59
hpc chair kelly archer's been having
11:02
technical difficulties getting on the
11:03
zoom so i just want to make sure
11:05
i put this in the record
11:08
on behalf of hdhpc our rendezvous point
11:11
bearing ground project of brown patch
11:13
ringing radar
11:14
and survey the survey was performed last
11:16
week and was a success
11:18
the hpc wants to thank uh rob perry aka

11:21
the bottom finder technographics mike
11:24
powell b and his crew of down call of
11:27
duty surveying
11:28
ryan summer and his crew of sacco parks
11:30
and recreation
11:32
andrew dickinson soccer communications
11:33
coordinator for his archiving of
11:35
interviews and live footage
11:37
and the abutting landowner mitch sambord
11:40
for all their efforts in making this
11:41
worthwhile
11:42
project a success the hpc continues to
11:45
gather all the necessary information for
11:47
its recent maine historic preservation
11:49
commission grant approval
11:50
in this hallowed grounds nominating
11:52
process to the national register of
11:54
historic places not only in the
11:56
boundaries of the cemetery and its 570
11:58
right of way
11:59
its significance in the finding of over
12:01
200 plus souls
12:03
buried there and to the completion of
12:05
many of their biographies
12:07
we are very grateful to have an
12:08
attendance cody mcmanus and jason
12:10
southwick
12:11
two eagle scouts whose projects in the
12:13
1990s were in the clearing of the
12:15

burying ground and the right of way
12:17
respectively
12:18
steve bentley and his two 2009 friends
12:21
of varietal point and their countless
12:23
efforts hours and dedication
12:25
to this invaluable project to the 12th
12:27
generation descendants of the patterson
12:29
and edgecombe families
12:30
sharing stories and to of course
12:33
counselors marshall archer
12:34
lynn copeland and jody macphail for
12:36
participating in this historical event
12:39
thank you all the hpc will continue to
12:41
update the council as much as still to
12:43
be done
12:44
and we thank those of you for your
12:46
support of the city of sacco's
12:48
first in discovery the sacco's first
12:51
colonial bearing ground
12:53
of our first european ancestors thank
12:55
you
12:56
that is kelly archer hpc chair
13:00
thank you councilor gunn and please
13:03
pass our thanks on to uh chair
13:07
certainly and i would like to thank you
13:09
for associating myself with her awards
13:10
as well
13:13
councilor copeland yes thank you mr
13:16
mayor um
13:17
the sacco shoreline commission

13:21
um had a meeting and they discussed and
13:24
voted to
13:25
uh send this to the council so i'll i'll
13:29
read this
13:29
to you these are their ppa
13:33
comment we'll do the comments on the ppa
13:36
from the shoreline commission
13:38
so uh included below
13:41
are comments provided by the shoreline
13:43
commission on the ppa models provided by
13:45
the united states
13:46
army corps of engineers
13:49
concern remains about the beach damage
13:51
beyond the
13:53
corps of engineers defined project area
13:59
consolidated comments from the shoreline
14:01
commission
14:04
on the army corps of engineers ppa model
14:07
initial comment the
14:11
u.s army corps of engineers still
14:14
attempt to limit reconstruction to the
14:16
currently defined project
14:18
limits of a three thousand feet north of
14:22
jetty
14:23
of three thousand feet miles away
14:25
however damages to
14:27
damages extended to goose fare brook
14:30
1 to 12 and a third
14:33
mile north of the jetty nor does the
14:36

army corps of engineers acknowledge the
14:38
damage to the race
14:39
rachel carson refuge and future closures
14:43
of goose fare brook
14:44
due to sand replenishments multiple
14:47
times
14:49
on article 1 section b all design and
14:51
construction costs
14:53
including initial beach nourishment are
14:55
not shared
14:56
with the non-federal sponsor the city
14:59
it is all borne by the army corps the
15:02
federal government should pay 100
15:04
of the costs for construction of the
15:06
breakwater and related costs in
15:08
accordance with plan
15:09
six the non-federal sponsor does not
15:13
pick
15:13
up any cost including a full beach
15:16
replenishment
15:17
provided we get the additional five
15:19
million
15:20
uh section g in kind contributions
15:23
by the city could include include
15:26
expertise and procurement
15:28
to lead to the reduction of an ex of
15:31
expenses paid by the army corps
15:34
article 2 section b army corps absorbs
15:36
100
15:37
of cost not the city at 35 percent

15:41
section b1 provide access and
15:44
right-of-way
15:44
public access to improved area
15:48
section b2 federal government to provide
15:51
as-built drawings and documentation to
15:54
non-federal sponsor within 30 days of
15:56
project completion
15:58
section b3 no cost to share
16:02
section b4 no non-federal funds
16:06
required section
16:09
c non-federal sponsor to review the
16:12
comment during
16:13
concept design development and
16:16
construction phases
16:18
and otherwise involved in daily
16:20
operations would want
16:22
allowance for the city to find cost
16:24
savings for the project
16:26
and if not taken by the army corps as a
16:29
government is in final control of
16:32
contracts
16:33
slash procurement etc an explanation of
16:37
why a more expensive
16:38
option was taken state approvals need to
16:42
be specific
16:43
and the responsibility of the army corps
16:46
with local
16:47
assistance perhaps the army corps cannot
16:50
request any funds from the non-federal
16:53

sponsor
16:53
once the work is completed
16:57
section e-2 army corps
17:00
in reference to existing jetty shall
17:03
provide
17:04
city maintenance costs annually to the
17:06
city
17:07
the non-federal sponsor must sign off on
17:09
the work and it
17:11
and if it takes ownership of the
17:13
breakwaters there will be no additional
17:15
cost to that sponsor
17:18
any repairs from storms or other acts of
17:20
god are eligible for fema funds
17:22
per procedure a baseline should be
17:25
established to determine
17:27
the cause of any damage any money out
17:30
spent
17:30
is to be used by the non-federal sponsor
17:33
for projects or studies related to the
17:36
beach erosion
17:36
in saco bay future beach replenishments
17:41
are the responsibility of the
17:42
non-federal sponsor which has the right
17:45
to request
17:46
federal funds beach replenishments
17:49
should not be the same financial
17:51
obligation of the non-federal sponsor
17:55
section e
18:00
no non-federal liability to operate

18:03
maintain repair rehabilitate or replace
18:06
a federal structure
18:07
or authority and responsibility section
18:10
e1 delete
18:12
um
18:16
specification i guess e2 non-federal
18:20
ownership responsibility sentence one
18:23
delete
18:24
that the non-federal sponsor now or
18:26
hereafter owns our controls
18:30
delete if the government determines dot
18:33
dot dot
18:34
or ellipses after notification by the
18:37
government
18:39
delete no operation maintenance ellipses
18:42
faithfully performed on this agreement
18:44
of disagreement section f g
18:47
city should verify if maine geological
18:49
can perform
18:54
section
18:57
section i is local state floodplain
18:59
management plan in place
19:02
section k make sure language allows for
19:04
improvements
19:06
to erosion mitigation and not to be
19:08
deemed obstructions
19:11
section o a team can be formed between
19:14
the army corps
19:15
and the city but all language of shared
19:17

cost
19:18
should be eliminated section o again
19:21
federal portion of project coordination
19:24
team
19:25
to be borne by the government
19:29
article 3 needs defining of property
19:32
relocating not sure if it's applicable
19:35
article 4
19:36
hazardous substances beyond city time
19:39
referencing all costs
19:40
to investigate should be borne by the
19:42
army corps
19:44
article 4 any hazardous material
19:47
investigations resolution attributable
19:51
to the government is the government's
19:53
responsibility for restitution
19:55
cleanup or removal at the federal cost
19:58
article 5 credit for real property
20:00
interest if applicable
20:01
all cost language reverts to army corps
20:05
not a share credit to zero percent cost
20:08
share of the city okay councillor
20:11
copeland
20:12
yeah how much how much how many more
20:15
edits are there because
20:17
uh and can can you has that been sent to
20:20
the council
20:22
has not been sent to the council it has
20:23
been sent to um
20:25
you the city administrator and the city

20:28
clerk i can send it to them but
20:30
i really would like to read it in so
20:32
that people listening can understand
20:34
the things that we're trying to work
20:36
with here so
20:37
you know and some of the issues are this
20:39
this pretty much does it i know
20:41
it's not easy reading because it's just
20:43
telling you what the edits are for that
20:45
without having the whole paragraph
20:46
but i do think it gives the flavor of um
20:50
some of the things that we still need to
20:51
work on and i think our constituents
20:53
should hear it
20:55
i i don't necessarily disagree counselor
20:58
i'm just
20:59
i'm about well i don't know it's hard
21:02
because the space
21:03
but those are not actual ppas that sacco
21:07
are going to consider
21:08
those are ppas that of of different
21:11
projects
21:12
right that's understood so these are the
21:15
things that we want to call out
21:16
specifically so that when they do draft
21:18
the one for us
21:19
these things are taken into
21:20
consideration it's understood that the
21:23
ones that were provided were samples and
21:25

that our situation
21:26
is unique i'll try and go fast
21:32
where was i delete i won't go over the
21:36
delete delete delete buttons how about
21:42
that
21:44
um in article eight the federal
21:47
structure
21:48
it's a federal responsibility in option
21:50
three
21:51
is non-federal sponsor should be state
21:54
of maine
21:55
option six no additional project work
21:57
plan
21:59
option seven federal section one eleven
22:02
project
22:05
and the comments on the mata chuck new
22:06
york ppa it's a federal project
22:08
non-federal sponsor required
22:11
page 2b the project is federal maintain
22:14
repair
22:15
rehabilitate operations or replacement
22:18
is federal responsibility
22:21
design plans require local review prior
22:24
to development and construction
22:26
plan modifications changes need local
22:29
review at concept stage
22:31
to include change orders lans easements
22:34
rights of way shall not
22:35
include municipal state roadways
22:39
page 5e no local responsibility to

22:41
maintain repair rehabilitate or replace
22:43
the project or any portion
22:45
thereof page 5f
22:49
not accessible to city maine geological
22:52
united states corps of engineers
22:54
possibly
22:56
article 6a no repair replace
22:59
rehabilitate
23:00
operate of city non-federal sponsor
23:08
article 10 paragraph c federal
23:10
government responsible for a cleanup
23:12
or response cost from federal action
23:18
and i'm very close to the end
23:25
article 11a and a1
23:28
federal government responsible for
23:29
monitoring assessment
23:31
cleanup inspection of any hazardous
23:33
waste conditions on project
23:37
and then there's more about possibility
23:39
a late liability for a non-federal
23:41
sponsor
23:42
and we need advice on that thank you
23:47
according to the whole council i'll put
23:49
it to the whole council right now
23:51
thank you councilor copeland any further
23:53
committee correspondents from council
23:56
or for councillor
23:59
seeing no further committee
24:00
correspondents moving on to item
24:04

six public comments city administrator
24:06
kanrath have you received any public
24:07
comment for tonight's section
24:09
yes mr mayor i have a few pieces of
24:10
public comment i'll read into the record
24:11
now
24:12
that's okay thank you
24:15
all right the first piece comes from
24:16
nancy phillips to chantal away sacramento
24:19
i have been a resident of soccer for 16
24:21
years and also a dog owner
24:22
my yard dispense for my dog but i often
24:24
walk around the sierra woods development
24:26
and surrounding area
24:27
i and my neighbors and their children
24:29
frequently walk the sidewalks from sacco
24:31
middle school i am concerned about a
24:32
recent incident
24:33
where two unleashed dogs attacked a
24:35
woman enter leash pet
24:36
this was a serious and unprovoked attack
24:38
and the owner and her dog were seriously
24:40
injured i was under the impression that
24:42
sakura had a leash long place in public
24:43
areas
24:44
as a lifelong dog owner i must protest
24:46
the term under voice command as a false
24:48
promise
24:49
that an animal will not react
24:50
aggressively display an owner's command

24:52
all dogs should be leashed when out in
24:54
public spaces with no exceptions
24:57
it should also be noted that students
24:58
are often playing and walking the front
25:00
fields of osaka middle school
25:02
what happens when unleashed animals
25:03
decide to take part in the activity
25:05
and become aggressive the school path is
25:07
also a place where a child may be
25:09
attacked
25:09
with no one around to intervene i've
25:11
personally notified the school personnel
25:13
on two occasions
25:14
when a bear was in this area so they
25:16
could notify the
25:17
resource officer to be aware of this
25:19
please enact or revise the animal
25:21
control policies to require dogs to be
25:23
leased all times in the city of sacco
25:25
this is an important issue loose dogs to
25:27
attack people and pets
25:28
should be quarantined by the city and
25:30
should be subject to removal from the
25:31
city
25:32
when the situation calls for it i'm
25:34
willing to answer any questions you may
25:35
have thank you in advance for your
25:36
assistance
25:37
in this matter nancy phillips the second
25:40

piece of public comment i have
25:42
uh not from a sacco resident but from uh
25:44
superintendent of schools dominic de
25:46
passi
25:47
uh to whom may concern i was recently
25:48
informed of an incident on the morning
25:50
of june 5th 2020. it was reported that
25:52
two dogs
25:53
rushed toward a young lady with a baby
25:55
as well as another woman who was walking
25:56
her dog
25:57
a young woman ran to sacco middle school
25:59
and pounded on the front door for help
26:01
she was visibly crying according to the
26:03
staff the other woman and her dog were
26:04
reported to have been bitten
26:06
and attacked by two dogs as blood was
26:08
visible and both the woman and her dog
26:10
were on the ground when my staff arrived
26:12
on the scene to help my staff were
26:14
traumatized by the event and what they
26:15
had witnessed
26:16
they were very concerned with the safety
26:18
of our students parents and community
26:19
members
26:20
who will be on campus when school
26:21
reopens as well as during the summer
26:23
when staff are present
26:25
doing professional development or
26:26
cleaning i am extremely concerned these

26:28
two dogs live across the street from one
26:30
of our public schools
26:31
and believe that measures need to be
26:33
taken to make sure our community is safe
26:35
thank you for your attention to this
26:36
matter
26:36
dominic the past final piece of
26:39
correspondence i have
26:41
uh is from barbara labrik um
26:46
five chantal away um to whom may concern
26:48
i'm ready to add my concern about an
26:50
incident that occurred on june 5th 2020
26:52
in my extended neighborhood specifically
26:54
the dog attack at the sacco middle school
26:56
during which my neighbor cynthia
26:58
chadwick granger along with her dog
27:00
bella
27:01
was pursued and bitten by two german
27:02
shepherds she ended up in the emergency
27:04
room and her dog
27:06
at the emergency vet clinic i've lived
27:09
in the sierra woods neighborhood for
27:10
over 15 years
27:11
a majority of us dog owners the dogs are
27:13
not required
27:14
are not permitted to be loose some of us
27:17
have invisible fencing others tie their
27:19
dogs
27:19
outside most of us regularly walk the
27:22

neighborhood with our dogs and often do
27:23
a loop around the sacco middle school
27:25
with our dogs on a leech i no longer
27:27
feel safe to do that and i haven't
27:29
walked that loop since june 5th
27:31
while i'm sure this was not intentional
27:33
if it happened once it will happen again
27:35
the next person may not be so lucky
27:38
added to this fact added to this is the
27:40
fact that the pathway is the route
27:42
that all the neighborhood children
27:43
travel twice daily to walk to and from
27:45
the sacco middle school
27:47
when school reopens we'll be safe for
27:49
them i doubt it
27:51
thank you for your attention to this
27:52
matter barbara brooke
27:54
that's all i have thank you thank you
27:56
city administrator kanrath
27:59
with that we'll open up the
28:04
public comment session for anyone that
28:07
would like to speak
28:08
before the council please use the raise
28:10
hand feature
28:11
of the zoom platform or star 9
28:15
and you'll be unmuted and have three
28:17
minutes to
28:18
present your comments to the city
28:20
council again
28:21
for those in attendance and wishing to

28:24
speak
28:24
during the public comments section of
28:27
the agenda
28:28
please use the raise hand feature of the
28:30
zoom platform
28:31
or star 9
28:34
and you'll be unmuted to deliver your
28:42
comments
28:48
seeing no hands raised and nobody
28:50
seeking recognition
28:52
moving on to item seven approval of the
28:54
minutes item
28:55
a approval of minutes from august 3rd
28:57
2020 is there a motion
29:02
motion
29:06
motion to approve the minutes made by
29:08
councilman minthorn is there a second
29:10
second second by councillor purdy any
29:13
discussion
29:16
counselor copeland thank you mr mayor um
29:21
i did make a request um at a previous
29:24
meeting that
29:25
um while it was great that we have the
29:28
quasi transcript from the uh
29:32
the zoom meeting but it it's kind of
29:35
weird how it goes there's like spaces
29:37
all over the place and i
29:39
had asked um if it could be reformatted
29:42
mr cain wrath
29:43

has there been any discussion on that
29:47
i can check back in with uh city court
29:49
michelle hughes and communications also
29:51
um to see if we can get that sorted out
29:54
i sure would like it thank you so much
29:58
i'll be voting no until i get that
30:02
counselor johnson thank you um
30:05
yes several months ago i guess it was
30:08
now i had asked
30:09
we have like a workshop or something on
30:12
the agenda to discuss
30:14
uh meeting minutes so i'm just reminding
30:17
people as we go forward that i'm still
30:19
hoping that will be
30:21
provided thank you thank you
30:25
uh any further discussion
30:30
a roll call vote on the minutes council
30:32
archer
30:33
aye councillor purdy yes
30:36
councillor gunn yes councillor copeland
30:40
no for the reasons stated councilor
30:43
minthorn
30:44
yes councillor mcphail yes
30:47
councillor johnson no motion passes 5-2
30:53
uh we are now at uh agenda
30:57
item 8 consent items the first up
31:00
is item a the biddeford stock old
31:03
orchard beach
31:04
transit lease approval
31:07
and then also item b application for

31:10
solid waste permit
31:12
triano waste services inc is there a
31:14
motion to approve the consent agenda
31:16
excuse me the consent agenda so moved mr
31:20
mayor
31:22
motions made by council of menthon is
31:24
there a second
31:28
second by councilor mcphail any
31:31
discussion
31:34
councilor copeland and then councilor
31:36
johnson councillor copeland
31:37
i'd like to pull the um the transit
31:41
lease from the um consent agenda please
31:44
i moved to pull it from the elise
31:48
second
31:55
there's been a motion made by councillor
31:56
copeland to remove item a from the
32:00
consent agenda
32:01
which would then make it item f under
32:04
action items
32:07
and this has been seconded by counselor
32:09
johnson
32:11
any discussion
32:15
yeah mr mayor councilman
32:18
looking at the edits i received from
32:20
counselor copeland
32:23
most of those edits were basically what
32:26
i would call scribner's
32:28
corrections um
32:32

and that was it so i don't know
32:35
that it really warrants getting moved
32:38
down to action
32:40
because of a few grammatical changes
32:43
uh the the motion the way it reads
32:47
would certainly uh allow for
32:51
a few edits being made in the final
32:53
document
32:55
under that context
32:59
thank you councilman council archer
33:03
yes thank you mr mayor and yes while i
33:05
do agree with the councilor menthorne we
33:07
don't typically debate
33:08
items to be pulled off this section they
33:10
just happen
33:12
um and i just wanted to keep it going
33:13
that way because it's a very
33:16
refreshing refreshment that you can just
33:18
say hey i'd like to withdraw it so i do
33:20
what
33:20
i actually agree with counselor
33:21
mentoring this part but i like the
33:23
process in which we don't debate
33:24
it just happens and
33:27
counselor copeland i just wanted to say
33:31
that um
33:32
yes i understand uh councilman that many
33:35
of them are scribners but
33:36
there are a couple of points that i i
33:38
think are more than that

33:44
thank you any further discussion
33:48
seeing on roll call vote council archer
33:51
aye
33:52
councillor purdy yes councillor gunn
33:56
yes councillor copeland yes
33:59
councilman thorne no councillor mcphail
34:03
yes councilor adjust yes
34:07
motion passes 6-1 to remove item a
34:11
so now we have item b on the consent
34:14
agenda and that is the application for
34:16
solid waste permit
34:17
triano waste services is there a motion
34:20
or emotion has been approved
34:24
excuse me it's been motioned and
34:26
seconded by council of menthon
34:28
and councilor macphail any further
34:30
discussion on item b
34:32
only
34:35
counselor copeland in your hand is up is
34:36
that from before
34:41
seeing no more discussion on item b i'll
34:44
call for a roll call vote
34:47
council archer aye councillor purdy
34:50
yes councillor gunn yes
34:54
councillor copeland yes councillor
34:57
menthorne
34:58
yes councillor macphail yes
35:01
counselor johnston yes motion
35:04
passes seven zero to approve item b
35:14

we are now up to agenda item nine
35:18
which is action items uh
35:21
with the removal of the consent agenda
35:23
item a and
35:25
transition it to action items uh that
35:28
will be item
35:29
f for discussion f4 discussion
35:33
so at this point we are at uh item a
35:36
public hearing renewal application for
35:38
special entertainment permit townhouse
35:40
pub counselor johnson
35:42
thank you townhouse management inc doing
35:45
business as
35:46
townhouse pub has applied for a renewal
35:48
of their special entertainment permit
35:50
the permit would be concurrent with the
35:52
establishment slicker license
35:54
the applicant has paid all applicable
35:56
permit fees the clerk has properly
35:58
advertised the public hearing in
35:59
accordance with the sacco city code
36:00
chapter 93
36:02
entertainment section 93-2
36:05
move to open the public hearing
36:09
motion's been made to open the public
36:10
hearing by councillor johnston second by
36:12
councillor minthorn
36:18
any discussion roll call vote council
36:21
archer
36:24
hi council purdy yes councillor gunn

36:27
yes councillor copeland yes
36:31
council of menthon yes councillor
36:34
mcphail
36:35
yes councillor johnson yes
36:38
motion to pass the motion passes 7-0 to
36:41
open the public hearing at 701 pm
36:47
at this time the public hearing is now
36:49
open if there is anyone who would like
36:50
to speak on item a the renewal
36:52
application for a special
36:54
entertainment permit for townhouse pub
36:56
please use the raise hand feature of the
36:58
zoom
36:59
platform or star 9 and you'll be unmuted
37:02
to speak to the council
37:06
again for those wishing to
37:09
provide comment on this public hearing
37:11
it's now open
37:12
please use the raise hand feature of the
37:14
zoom platform
37:15
or star 9 and you'll be unmuted to
37:17
provide comments to the council
37:35
seeing no hands raised and nobody's
37:37
seeking recognition counselor johnson
37:39
move the close public hearing be it or
37:41
city council grant renewal application
37:43
submitted by townhouse management inc
37:44
doing business as the townhouse pub
37:46
for a special entertainment permit to be
37:48

concurrent with the establishment's
37:50
current liquor license and we move to
37:52
approve the order
37:53
second motion has been made by council
37:56
johnson second by councillor minthorn
37:59
any discussion
38:02
i see no discussion council a roll call
38:06
vote council archer
38:07
aye councillor purdy yes
38:10
councillor gunn yes councilor copeland
38:14
yes councillor minthorn yes councilor
38:17
macphail
38:18
yes councillor johnston yes
38:22
motion to close the public karen and
38:24
grant permit
38:25
passes 7-0 at 703
38:28
pm counselors just please make sure to
38:33
go in and sign these permits uh the
38:36
application for the solid waste permit
38:38
and then the renewal application
38:40
at city hall when you get a chance
38:46
that we are up to item b first reading
38:48
proposed i2 zoning amendment
38:50
large commercial vehicle sales and
38:52
service council
38:53
johnson thank you sale of 351 north
38:57
street
38:58
existing public works building
39:01
on march 30th 2020 as a result of
39:04
competitive rfp process

39:05
the city council voted 6-1 to accept the
39:07
development proposal for 351 north
39:10
street
39:10
for the understanding that this proposal
39:12
will require a zoning amendment to use
39:13
within the i-2 district
39:15
the selected development proposal
39:16
utilizes the existing public works
39:18
building
39:19
for large commercial vehicle sales in
39:21
service for the sales service
39:23
repair and maintenance primarily for
39:25
emergency service
39:26
vehicles the proposed use requires a
39:30
zoning amendment to accommodate the
39:31
accepted proposal and after much
39:33
research and consideration of the fact
39:35
the city has been working to consolidate
39:36
uses
39:38
with the zoning ordinance revision which
39:40
is a separate
39:41
process staff proposed adding automobile
39:44
dealer
39:44
use with foreign standards to this
39:46
district it's important to note that the
39:48
remaining three respondents to the rfp
39:50
would have required some form of zoning
39:52
amendment as well
39:53
staff introduced this proposal to the
39:55

planning board in workshop on june 30th
39:57
2020 and then followed up with a formal
39:59
proposal on july 7th
40:00
2020. the city council then referred
40:03
this amendment to the planning board
40:05
per zoning ordinance section 230-1401 on
40:08
july 13
40:09
2020 planning board held its public
40:11
hearing about this amendment on july
40:13
21st 2020 noting
40:15
nuke noting new concerns about the
40:17
proposed performance standards and the
40:18
possibility of loopholes
40:20
staff took the planning board's feedback
40:22
after the public hearing and work with
40:24
city attorney tim murphy to consider
40:25
several options including adding a new
40:27
use
40:28
further modify the performance standards
40:30
for automobile dealer or modify the
40:32
definition of flight industry
40:34
adding a new use to the ordinance rather
40:36
than trying to add performance standards
40:38
or otherwise modify an existing use
40:40
helps ensure that the use is transparent
40:42
will only be allowed within the iq
40:44
district and was consistent with the
40:45
direction staff received from the
40:46
planning board
40:48
following new permitted use definition

40:50
 or the following new permitted use
 40:52
 definition to the
 40:53
 i2 zoning district was presented for
 40:55
 consideration for planning board
 40:56
 for its august 4th 2020 meeting large
 41:00
 commercial vehicle sales and services
 41:02
 an establishment primarily for the sale
 41:04
 of new and used specialized vehicles
 41:06
 and or construction equipment all larger
 41:08
 than 10 000 pounds gross vehicle weight
 41:11
 rating
 41:11
 including but not limited to mobile
 41:13
 cranes earth
 41:15
 moving equipment fire and rescue
 41:17
 apparatus
 41:18
 drivable rvs and semi-tracking trailer
 41:20
 trucks
 41:22
 this use includes repair manufacturing
 41:24
 fabrication
 41:25
 and assembly does not include the
 41:26
 processing or stores storage
 41:28
 of raw materials or salvaging operations
 41:32
 the planning board outcome on august 4th
 41:34
 2020 the planning board deliberated on
 41:36
 the proposed zoning amendment
 41:38
 and moved and seconded to accept the use
 41:40
 of large commercial vehicle sales and
 41:42
 services as conditional use
 41:44
 in the i2 zone for the following
 41:46
 definition a change from the staff
 41:48
 proposed definition
 41:49
 an establishment primarily for the sale
 41:51
 of new and used specialized vehicles
 41:53
 all larger than 10 000 pounds gross
 41:55
 vehicle weight rating
 41:56
 including but not limited to fire and
 41:58
 rescue apparatus
 41:59
 drivable rvs and semi-tractor-trailer
 42:02
 trucks
 42:03
 this use includes repair manufacturing
 42:05
 fabrication and assembly
 42:07
 but does not include the processing or
 42:09
 storage of raw materials or salvaging
 42:10
 operations
 42:12
 after continued deliberation the motion
 42:14
 failed with a 3-3 vote the planning
 42:16
 board
 42:17
 will be asked to vote in its next
 42:18
 meeting scheduled for august 18th
 42:20
 2020 on a report on this zoning
 42:22
 amendment to the city council it is
 42:24
 anticipated the report will be provided
 42:26
 prior to the city council's next
 42:27
 meeting scheduled for september 14 2020
 42:31
 city council's first reading and
 42:32
 scheduled a public hearing the city
 42:34
 staff is recommending that the new
 42:35
 permitted use definition of the i-2
 42:38
 zoning district as proposed by staff

42:40
and attorney tim murphy and sent to the
42:42
planning board on august
42:44
4th 2020 be approved by the city council
42:47
for its first reading
42:48
at the city council meeting scheduled
42:50
for august 17th 2020
42:52
that the city council set the public
42:54
hearing for september 14
42:55
2020. in anticipation of planning
42:58
board's vote on the report
43:00
at its august 18 2020 meeting staff
43:02
recommends approving the first reading
43:04
of this amendment
43:05
and scheduling a public hearing on
43:07
september 14 2020.
43:10
i move to approve the first reading and
43:12
schedule a public hearing on september
43:13
14th 2020 regarding the following order
43:16
and substantially the same form as
43:17
presented viewer that the city council
43:19
accept
43:19
the new permitted use in the i2 zoning
43:21
district of large commercial
43:23
vehicle sales and service an
43:25
establishment primarily for the sale of
43:27
new and used specialized vehicles
43:29
and or construction equipment all larger
43:31
than 10 000 pounds gross vehicle
43:33
weight rating including but not limited
43:36

to mobile cranes earth moving equipment
43:38
firing rescue apparatus drivable rvs and
43:41
semi-attractive trailer trucks
43:43
this use includes repair manufacturing
43:45
fabrication and assembly
43:47
does not include the processing or
43:48
storage of raw materials or
43:50
salvaging operations
43:53
move to approve the order second
43:57
motion's been made by counselor johnston
43:59
second by councillor menthorne
44:01
any discussion council archer uh yes
44:04
counselor johnson can you reread that i
44:06
missed a spot somewhere in the middle
44:11
aren't we funny
44:14
any further discussion
44:18
counselor johnston thank you so
44:21
i mean when i when i first received this
44:23
i really had considered not
44:25
reading this into the record um
44:28
i spoke with the director or director
44:31
corvette today
44:32
i think we had a pretty good
44:33
conversation um
44:36
and and while i understand that
44:40
this process that we're following
44:42
doesn't negate the ability for the
44:44
planning board
44:45
to make additional changes as part of
44:47
its report

44:48
at tomorrow's meeting i personally
44:51
along with several other planning board
44:53
members feel that this process
44:55
is kind of flawed um the planning board
44:59
has spent along with city staff spent
45:01
significant amount of time trying
45:04
to come up with um language that it felt
45:07
was appropriate
45:08
for that particular location in sacco
45:12
um and and i personally feel that they
45:15
should have been allowed to
45:17
finalize what that language was before
45:20
this was sent to council
45:22
in many ways it it is or could be
45:25
perceived as disingenuous
45:27
to the role of the planning board and
45:29
this isn't a criticism of
45:31
the playing department like i said i
45:33
spoke with with denise
45:35
um it's kind of out of out of their
45:39
purview in my mind because they don't
45:41
set schedules or agendas
45:43
so if timing had been an issue
45:46
nothing prevented us in fact i would
45:48
actually have encouraged
45:50
a special joint meeting for planning and
45:52
counsel to discuss this matter because
45:55
it has become a hot topic item
45:58
um i understand we're we have scheduling
46:01

conflicts as far as
46:03
when our next meeting is but i i just
46:05
don't understand why we couldn't have
46:07
scheduled a specific meeting just for
46:09
this item
46:10
so i mean with that said that that's all
46:12
i really have to say
46:13
thank you
46:18
thank you counselor johnson any further
46:21
discussion on this
46:25
council archer uh yeah so
46:29
i'll i'll be voting to push this forward
46:31
and i do i do
46:32
hear what councilor johnston is saying
46:34
um but
46:35
what great thing about this three
46:36
process meeting is that
46:38
if the planning board which is
46:40
independent of us makes their own
46:41
decision
46:42
and change the words the wording of the
46:44
proposed motion
46:45
and the counselor decides that that's
46:47
the word they want to take it can always
46:48
be amended
46:50
at a later date so we can still follow
46:52
the process now and i do understand that
46:54
this is
46:54
let's just go with outside the normal um
46:56
i still believe it's legal but outside

46:58
normal
46:59
um again that dare anything that the
47:02
planning board does push forward in the
47:03
future can still be addressed by this
47:05
council
47:06
and change it into this motion before we
47:07
do the final vote
47:09
so i'm still going to push it forward
47:10
but again i do recognize that this
47:12
is outside the norm but i still see the
47:15
process being followed
47:16
just uh it's just like a little
47:19
backwards by about a day 24 hours where
47:21
we're operating first before they do
47:23
but we can still take that their
47:24
decisions into consideration
47:27
by the final vote
47:31
thank you council archer any further
47:36
discussion
47:39
no further discussion uh roll call vote
47:43
council archer aye council of purdy
47:47
yes councillor gunn yes
47:50
councillor copeland yes councilwoman
47:54
yes councillor mcphail yes
47:57
councillor johnston yes motion passes
48:01
7-0 moving on to item
48:04
c final reading of chapter 81 review to
48:07
marguerite road councilor purdy
48:15
earlier this year the city council
48:17

initiated its review of land donation to
48:19
habitat for humanity
48:21
since then the lead birds of budding
48:23
property owners at 343 flag pond road
48:26
have approached the city asking to
48:28
purchase some of the city's land that
48:30
abuts their property
48:32
staff reached out to habitat
48:33
representatives to ask
48:35
that habitat share a copy of the survey
48:38
they were undertaking
48:39
of the site staff received a copy of the
48:42
survey
48:43
which shows several structures owned by
48:45
the leedbergs encroaching onto the
48:47
city's property
48:48
at this time and after reviewing several
48:51
options
48:52
a positive solution seems to be to sell
48:54
some of the city's land to the leedbergs
48:56
retain at least thirty thousand square
48:58
feet of land for the city
49:00
and donate the remaining land to habitat
49:02
for humanity
49:05
i move to approve the final reading for
49:08
the following order and substantially
49:10
the
49:14
same form as presented via ordered that
49:17
the city administrator is hereby
49:19
authorized to execute sales

49:20
approximately 10
49:21
000 square feet of land for assessed
49:25
land valuation with buyer covering all
49:28
associated fees and to execute
49:30
all necessary documents to donate
49:32
specified portion of land
49:34
of to margarite road to habitat for
49:36
humanity
49:41
motion's been made by council of purdey
49:43
is our second
49:46
second second second by counselor gunn
49:51
any discussion
50:02
councilor johnson then councilor
50:03
copeland councilor johnson
50:06
thank you um
50:11
i feel like there's a lot still left i
50:13
answered here um
50:16
i have a question which i hadn't asked
50:19
previously but
50:20
curious uh do we know how much
50:24
the city foreclosed on this property
50:27
four
50:28
back in 1981 um
50:31
and then of course they had sent an
50:35
email earlier this morning
50:36
asking about how we determine the
50:38
valuation on this
50:40
and i haven't received that information
50:42
yet so i'm curious if that was provided
50:44

today
50:46
and then another question which
50:49
actually was brought up by
50:53
by the abutter and he spoke of well
50:56
issues in the area
50:57
and i was curious if that has been
51:00
looked into
51:01
or addressed not so much specifically
51:04
for this but my concern
51:08
is that under the new proposed zoning
51:11
ordinance we're
51:12
reducing lot sizes out there and if he's
51:14
having
51:16
issues or his neighbors having issues
51:18
with well
51:19
then perhaps maybe that's not the
51:21
direction we should go in
51:22
so i was just curious if anybody
51:24
followed up to his question in his
51:27
letter that he had provided to us so if
51:30
those could be
51:31
those questions could be answered first
51:33
i'd probably follow up
51:35
city administrator kane wrath do you
51:36
have any answers to those questions
51:39
thank you mr mayor so on the original
51:40
value i i don't know that we could
51:42
probably obtain that but it was back in
51:43
1981 so we'd have to go back
51:45
um i am waiting uh for a response from

51:48
uh city assessor nick desjardins
51:50
on your other question i did uh get that
51:53
this morning and forward that on
51:54
so i have not yet received a response
51:56
there but we will need his input as far
51:58
as the details on how exactly
52:01
the assessed value was determined on
52:02
that on that cutaway so
52:05
um as soon as i have that i can
52:06
certainly forward it on
52:08
and uh the well issues uh i don't have
52:10
any expertise on
52:11
you know maybe um well now former
52:14
city planner emily cal prescott might
52:16
have some background there we could
52:18
follow up with
52:20
unless someone else on the meeting right
52:22
now
52:23
has anything further to chime in with
52:25
there
52:30
counselor johnson uh it seems that uh
52:33
there's not many answers to your
52:34
questions uh at this moment in time but
52:37
uh
52:38
city administrator ken rath will
52:39
continue to seek the
52:41
answers to your questions
52:46
interested to hear what other counselors
52:48
have to say
52:50

counselor copeland
52:53
thank you mr mayor i'm on the york
52:55
county
52:56
registry of deed site trying to find out
52:58
that a foreclosure amount but um
53:00
so my questions i did formally
53:06
formally request to find out
53:09
if there was title insurance what do we
53:11
know about that i have heard nothing
53:14
mr mayor city administrator cameron
53:16
thank you
53:17
um through mr leeberg and mr lieberman's
53:20
attorney they indicated there was not
53:21
title insurance
53:29
thank you councilor copeland um well
53:37
all right so here's what i'm thinking
53:38
i'm thinking i want this to go to have
53:40
death for humanity i want
53:42
a family to have a place to live there
53:46
should it be in the downtown area maybe
53:49
another one another time right now we
53:51
have this opportunity before us
53:53
and i want to seize it but at the same
53:55
time
53:57
i think that we need to be fair across
53:59
the board everybody needs to be
54:01
following the same rules so
54:03
if someone just says well you know i
54:04
don't care what my property line is i'll
54:06
just like

54:07
build stuff and whatever that's that's
54:10
just
54:11
not possible for everybody to do i mean
54:14
i think we can all agree on that
54:16
um so i think
54:20
the solution should be that he should
54:23
move his stuff
54:24
off the city property onto his own so he
54:27
was
54:27
uh there was a discussion of like 5 000
54:30
or whatever
54:31
dollars that he was going to buy this
54:32
land with why doesn't he use that money
54:35
to put his buildings on his property
54:38
um that being said if there's something
54:41
that's a permanent structure like it
54:42
looks like one of them might be larger
54:45
what about the other ones and then just
54:47
carve out
54:48
exactly around there and give them an
54:50
easement to get to that little piece
54:53
that's shed or whatever i i just don't
54:55
think that uh
54:57
people should just like
55:00
build stuff without permits wherever
55:02
they feel like it
55:03
that's that's not the rules that we all
55:05
have to live by
55:08
um and there was a discussion that uh
55:12

counselor johnston raised about the
55:14
valuation
55:16
so um we haven't got a response to that
55:20
and i don't know why i think
55:21
that uh that that should have been
55:25
pushed for
55:25
that was an important thing i thought
55:27
and uh disappointed that it wasn't
55:30
um so but so the thing is that we can't
55:32
pick and choose the ordinances that
55:34
we're going to apply or not apply
55:37
um you know we don't really know what
55:40
the assessed value for that specific
55:42
piece is we don't know what the
55:44
market value is and and this is all
55:47
ridiculous
55:48
and we wouldn't know or care you know
55:51
specifically if it didn't come up here
55:54
and and there is an option there is an
55:55
option for us to
55:56
close our eyes to this go through with
55:59
the sale
56:00
to to the donation to the habitat for
56:03
humanity because we do risk
56:05
losing um them moving forward with this
56:10
but i think i think that um
56:13
you know with so many we were so trying
56:17
to get away from this kind of nonsense
56:19
we're trying to make it so that the
56:21
rules apply to everyone equally

56:24
um i mean we can see from our contract
56:28
zoning we can see from
56:30
a bunch of different decisions that you
56:32
know we we sit here and we pick which
56:33
ones we like which ones we don't like
56:36
i just don't think that somebody uh
56:39
should be doing this and i think it has
56:42
come to our attention
56:43
and i think that we have to deal with it
56:47
thank you thank you councillor copeland
56:51
council of party then councilor archer
56:53
then councilor mentor councillor perk
56:56
thank you man um
56:59
[Music]
57:01
the uh from in in the reading i was
57:04
doing
57:05
over the last this has been going on for
57:06
months now
57:08
um my understanding is that the
57:11
liebergs have been paying taxes on
57:14
that shed even though it wasn't on the
57:17
proper blue
57:18
property legally i don't think there was
57:21
any
57:22
i well and also that shed's been there
57:24
since i believe
57:26
if i remember correctly before they even
57:28
bought the property
57:30
uh there was no i don't think there was
57:34

any
57:35
ill intent here um nobody's trying to
57:37
escape
57:38
through without paying taxes they've
57:40
paid their taxes
57:42
for the last you know however long
57:44
they've been there i can't remember
57:45
exactly
57:46
um i i think
57:50
my personal opinion is we should just
57:52
move forward with this this this
57:53
property's
57:54
been essentially vacant for
57:58
40 years and generating no income for
58:01
the city i think
58:02
that uh i think we should just just move
58:05
forward at this point and i said there
58:08
there were mistakes made in the past
58:10
absolutely
58:11
um it could take us another year to dig
58:14
through
58:14
to dig to the bottom of this and i don't
58:16
think we'd be doing anybody any good by
58:20
putting this off much much longer
58:23
thank you thank you councillor purdy
58:25
council archer
58:28
okay yes thank you mr mayor um so i will
58:31
be
58:31
um voting yes to push this forward and a
58:34
lot of it's based on what uh

58:36
i mean and not based on what counselor
58:37
purdy just said but i also aim an
58:39
agreement
58:40
um and when this first came up i did
58:42
have those concerns about lost tax
58:43
revenue over the time and all that
58:45
um and after thinking about this um
58:50
and making the uh recommendation that we
58:52
put in the assessed value
58:54
um i'm feeling more comfortable with
58:55
just selling it and moving on at this
58:57
point
58:58
again it's not a handing this to anybody
59:00
we're actually saying hey
59:01
you've moved your property you've moved
59:03
your shed here
59:05
in order to keep it you have to pay for
59:06
it so i guess that is
59:08
us saying uh holding them to the ground
59:10
like saying hey there have been mistakes
59:12
on both parts
59:13
um it's hard to assess something that's
59:15
not on your val on your property so if
59:16
this shed was assessed i'm i'm just
59:18
basing this off of counselor purdy what
59:20
he just presented
59:21
i don't know for a fact it was um and
59:24
again we're saying assess
59:26
so the reason why i liked having the
59:27

assessed value in here is that it can be
59:30
uh whatever the sale is i didn't like
59:32
that there was no bottom line it didn't
59:33
say what the number would be but now it
59:35
says assessed
59:36
so that means when the assessment
59:38
happens that's going to be the cost
59:40
and that is something that can be
59:41
verified by an outsider not related to
59:43
the city it's an assessed value so if
59:45
someone had a problem with what the
59:46
property
59:47
sold for they could hire their own
59:49
independent assessor and find out if
59:51
that
59:51
information is the same so what i'm
59:53
seeing is that hey we're p
59:55
protecting the uh the city's interest
59:57
we're protecting our other citizens and
59:58
we're
59:59
financially making sure that this
60:01
property gets paid for and we can move
60:02
on
60:03
and again now with habitat humanity when
60:05
that's ready we'll have some properties
60:07
that pay taxes
60:08
so i in the end it's not the best option
60:10
but it is an option and it's an option i
60:12
can move forward
60:12
with

60:16
thank you council archer councilman
60:20
thank you mr mayor um
60:24
first if we pulled the property card off
60:26
vision appraisal off our own
60:28
on-site city form for two marguerite
60:32
road it's
60:32
currently just been increased two
60:34
thousand dollars
60:36
uh to like 33.9 is the assessed value
60:40
for the two
60:41
marguerite road parcel assuming this
60:45
carve off is maybe a third of an acre
60:48
in the total parcel is 2.3 acres it's
60:52
about 1
60:52
7. if you take the 33 000 divided by
60:56
seven
60:57
you come out about 4 800 so the 5 000
61:01
is a fairly realistic number to
61:04
the current assessment that's listed in
61:06
our system
61:08
uh using that same system if you go over
61:11
to 343
61:12
flag pond road uh
61:15
to uh mr led liedberg's
61:18
property uh he has been assessed
61:22
for that shed for a 900
61:26
value now i don't know when we don't see
61:28
when it was entered into the system
61:31
but the shed is listed on his property
61:34

card
61:34
so in you know both cases supporting
61:38
what uh
61:39
councilor purdy said as well as council
61:41
archer i
61:42
i think we've got enough information
61:44
here to move forward
61:46
is it perfect by all means it's not
61:49
and i'm sure there are many other places
61:51
in the city where we could find similar
61:53
things that have been going on for years
61:56
and that's one of the things we all
61:59
acknowledge and know that we get need to
62:01
get to the bottom of a lot of those
62:03
but i mean you know last year we
62:06
uh gave a deed to the uu church
62:10
that they didn't have on their parcel
62:11
since 1842
62:14
so you know there's a few things out
62:15
there we know that
62:17
but i i'd like to go ahead and let
62:18
everybody move forward with this one
62:20
thank you thank you councilman
62:23
councillor johnston thank you um
62:28
so again if you had read my my email
62:30
this
62:31
earlier this morning um that's what i
62:34
was trying to get at
62:35
was the 33 9 seemed
62:38
largely under assessed in comparison to

62:42
how land is being assessed the
62:44
surrounding butters
62:45
and my concern is that we had a true
62:48
value on
62:49
this land i'm not maybe that is the
62:51
number but i haven't seen anything
62:53
that makes me feel comfortable with
62:56
that valuation i mean i spoke with
62:58
several people in that neighborhood
63:00
or in other areas of soccer that have
63:02
had similar instances
63:04
where you know they're left feeling that
63:07
you know they were forced to pay
63:10
perhaps more than than they should have
63:12
or
63:13
or or closer to the current assessed
63:16
value of the actual land
63:18
um i think we all want the same thing
63:20
here
63:21
uh well i don't think we would have gone
63:22
down this path if we hadn't
63:24
um i mean where i'm conflicted
63:27
is that we started this process gifting
63:30
land
63:31
and and somewhere in between you know
63:34
obviously we found out
63:35
about this other butter that it has
63:38
his property on the city's property and
63:40
now we're the middleman
63:41

and so i'm just curious you know as to
63:45
counseling copeland's point earlier we
63:47
could just
63:48
gift the land and let habitat for
63:51
humanity
63:51
and the abutter figure out what they
63:53
want to do
63:55
with the situation because we've
63:58
inserted ourselves and now we're playing
64:00
you know favorites in in some eyes
64:03
um which i i tend to agree with because
64:05
now we're putting value on land
64:07
we're saying pay us this to correct your
64:11
issue when other butters are paying
64:13
what it would appear to me anyway much
64:15
more per
64:16
you know acre out there the land um
64:19
and i tried to summarize that all in my
64:22
email to you all
64:23
um so i i guess for
64:27
myself i don't feel as though i have
64:28
enough information today
64:30
and i had hoped that the assessor would
64:32
have had that to us and i could
64:33
better understand how we came to that
64:35
number because ultimately i just want it
64:37
to be a fair number
64:39
for everybody and not just in that
64:40
neighborhood but throughout the city
64:42
that

64:42
ends up in similar situations so i mean
64:46
i
64:46
i feel i'm at a point um where i just
64:50
make a motion the table
64:51
until we have that information from the
64:53
assessor
64:57
thank you councillor johnson councilor
64:59
copeland
65:03
just two quick um points there's not
65:05
just the one shed there are three
65:08
motion right yeah that was emotion the
65:11
table
65:13
sorry against the cope and it was just
65:14
it was a motion okay
65:16
just real quick then i'll throw in that
65:18
it's not always just about taxes stand
65:20
by counselor
65:21
counselor johnson you were making a
65:22
motion a table
65:24
yes sir
65:30
is there a second to the motion to table
65:34
no second
65:38
was that council archer that seconded
65:44
motion to table by council johnson
65:45
second by councillor archer
65:48
roll call vote council archer
65:52
no discussion crap hi
66:00
council of purdy no
66:06
counselor gun no
66:10

counselor copeland no for the reason
66:13
that i don't want
66:14
uh to lose habitat for humanity it's
66:16
important that we get this done for
66:18
people
66:20
council midtorn no
66:26
and counselor mcphail
66:30
no and counselor johnston
66:34
yes emotion fails
66:38
two to five uh back to the main motion
66:42
uh counselor copeland you had some
66:44
comments uh
66:46
and thank you for giving me some leeway
66:48
to handle the motion prior to your
66:50
comments counselor copeland
66:54
i'm all set i just wanted to say that
66:56
not everything we do is about
66:57
getting more taxable income i mean yes
67:00
we want to lower our tax base there's no
67:02
doubt but not at any cost
67:03
so that was uh really what i wanted to
67:06
say
67:08
thank you councilor copeland uh
67:12
council uh do you want to hear at all
67:15
from uh
67:19
the liebergs or the lieberg's attorney
67:21
who is present and has their hand up
67:24
uh if there's no objection
67:28
i will uh unmute them however this is
67:31
council discussion and comment

67:33
uh on the motion uh not public comments
67:35
so
67:36
uh if there's no objection i'll do it if
67:38
there's an objection we'll continue
67:39
moving forward with discussion and roll
67:41
call vote
67:46
see no objection
67:50
sorry button um i mean we've had the
67:53
public hearing
67:54
and and they spoke i can't imagine them
67:57
offering anything else that's germane to
68:00
the conversation
68:02
um but that's just my thought i mean
68:05
to get bogged down in more discussion
68:08
from the butter
68:10
i i don't i don't know i don't see the
68:12
point to it
68:14
thank you counselor johnson council
68:16
minthorn councilor copeland and council
68:18
archer councilman
68:20
yes thank you mayor i seem to remember
68:23
something probably several years back
68:26
now
68:28
where when the new assessor came on
68:30
board
68:31
we were he was going out and doing
68:34
reevaluations on some of the
68:36
parcels out on the west side of town
68:39
especially
68:40

and there was only an incremental amount
68:43
that you could increase a parcel's value
68:46
in any given
68:48
cycle and that a lot of these
68:51
were far behind where they should have
68:54
been
68:55
on the the percentages and that
68:58
he's been raising them and this is one
69:01
of those that has been raised
69:02
but i i seem to recall that this was an
69:05
issue that he had raised to uh several
69:07
years back
69:08
thank you thank you councilman
69:10
councillor copeland and council archer
69:12
counselor copeland
69:15
i'm all for listening to people i think
69:17
that we uh
69:18
would do is disservice if we didn't
69:20
listen of course i think that it
69:21
shouldn't go on forever so mr mayor i
69:23
would
69:24
uh like to hear from them uh with a
69:26
three-minute
69:27
uh maximum each thank you councilor
69:30
copeland council archer
69:32
yeah i'm just going to say they have
69:34
material evidence to
69:35
present that's great um but i i've
69:38
already presented that i'll be
69:39
supporting this so um just again if it

69:43 falls within a
69:43 reasonable amount of time thank you
69:46 council archer uh
69:47 councillor copeland your hands up is
69:49 that new or
69:51 okay well thank you uh councilor johnson
69:54 uh is is that an objection to
69:58 uh hearing from the the abutter if so
70:01 we're going to continue moving forward
70:02 with discussion
70:06 i wouldn't outright reject i just i mean
70:08 we have a process we follow
70:10 we have a public hearing unless this is
70:12 something
70:13 that's totally new i think i think that
70:16 you know as as councilor archer pointed
70:18 out
70:18 it seems as though there's support
70:20 currently so
70:22 i mean my biggest issue here was was not
70:25 having
70:25 that report from the assessor um
70:28 i mean i have other items i'd like to
70:30 continue to discuss i guess this is
70:32 what i would really like to get to but
70:36 he can speak
70:45 so seeing no objections from the council
70:47 uh at this time i'm going to
70:50 unmute uh bccm
70:53

uh in bccm and i just ask that uh
70:57 once you're unmuted you identify your
70:59 name and address
71:00 for the city council and uh you have
71:02 three minutes
71:04 to present your your comments
71:07 you are now allowed to talk go ahead and
71:09 unmute and again please identify
71:11 yourself and
71:12 please keep to three minutes uh thank
71:15 you mr mayor just
71:17 obviously very briefly here um
71:20 with regard to the question about title
71:21 insurance i had
71:23 uh mr lieber go back and try to figure
71:26 out i mean he bought it like 20
71:27 something years ago so he went through
71:29 to try to find any paperwork he had
71:31 it looked very clear from that paperwork
71:33 that there was no title insurance
71:35 and then we also went out even further
71:36 to actually search for policies and
71:39 databases that we have access to so
71:41 there wasn't any title insurance i don't
71:42 think would really
71:43 probably apply in this case anyway um
71:46 sir can you please state your name and
71:48 address for the record
71:49 i'm really sorry brad warren sanford
71:52 thank you

71:53
and um the other thing i just want to
71:56
point out
71:56
um in terms of uh creating precedence or
72:00
anything like that
72:00
what is clear uh what we try to put
72:03
forward to you folks was
72:04
the liebergs did not know about the
72:06
situation this type of thing does
72:08
unfortunately happen uh um every now and
72:12
then
72:12
um and i don't i would argue that you're
72:15
not creating a bad precedent here
72:17
because no one
72:18
obviously want to end up in a situation
72:20
like this they're going to take
72:21
whatever steps they could to avoid um
72:24
encroachments
72:25
but from their perspective they just
72:26
didn't realize it when they bought the
72:28
property because
72:29
there was the driveway where it was the
72:31
cement pad the sheds that were
72:34
pretty old vintage so they totally uh
72:37
act in good faith throughout this whole
72:39
process and then lastly
72:41
um you know whether the
72:44
discussion about whether it should just
72:45
be punted to habitat for humanity
72:48
i would just point out that they were
72:49

involved in the process with the city
72:51
staff and with mr lieberg
72:53
in the discussions about putting forth
72:55
this proposal thank you
72:59
thank you mr martin for your comments
73:09
counselors it's now back to you any
73:11
further discussion on this item
73:17
counselor johnston thank you yeah i mean
73:20
i
73:20
i hope that i don't think any of us were
73:23
trying to imply it was a
73:24
malicious intent or anything uh when it
73:27
was first
73:28
brought to us i certainly had questions
73:30
as far as whether this
73:32
a butter built stuff on it but it seems
73:34
clear that he
73:36
had no understanding and it does happen
73:38
i have in a butter
73:39
to my property that has a porch that
73:42
sits on
73:43
our land um so
73:46
with that aside and obviously my
73:49
failure to um get the assessors
73:53
report which i mean really is not on the
73:56
assessor it's on me for
73:57
being too busy to get that email out
73:59
earlier um
74:01
there are a couple other things i would
74:03
like to address

74:05
with the gifted lot
74:08
for habitat um and i guess i could just
74:12
go ahead and either amend the motion or
74:14
discuss these first
74:17
um but
74:20
i guess i'll amend the motion um
74:23
i would move to amend that we strike
74:26
assessed land value
74:28
instead insert six thousand dollars
74:31
it's just an easier number rounded up
74:34
um and
74:38
well i guess it's up to you mr mayor do
74:40
you want me to deal with
74:42
that as a single motion to amend or
74:44
would you prefer i just do all my
74:46
amendments as one
74:48
amendment uh why don't we take them
74:51
individually if you have multiple
74:53
amendments
74:55
first amendment is to delete
75:03
assessed value
75:06
and then put in six thousand dollars
75:11
correct is that your motion yes
75:14
is there a second for the motion
75:22
motion dies for lack of a second
75:26
um okay
75:32
well then i guess
75:35
previously i had brought up the desire
75:38
to see this sprinkled
75:40

i know that habitat had sent in some
75:43
communication that they were opposed to
75:45
it
75:46
but they thought it'd be caught too cost
75:47
prohibitive
75:49
i would like to point out that our new
75:50
zoning ordinance will
75:52
be requiring single-family homes to be
75:54
sink or sprinkled
75:56
um so after discussion with the deputy
76:00
chief
76:01
um which is something he's a big
76:02
advocate for
76:04
um that's why i brought this up
76:06
previously
76:07
and so i would i would still like to see
76:09
that as a condition
76:11
um of this approval
76:15
and then the other thing that i had
76:18
brought up a while ago and it's in the
76:20
planning boards
76:22
uh report on chapter 81 review
76:26
would be to require all future driveway
76:29
access
76:30
to come off of marguerite road and
76:34
that was discussed again at the planning
76:36
board and primarily the reason for
76:38
that is it's addressed in the comp plan
76:40
it's a desire to have
76:42
less curb cuts off of the flag pond road

76:45
for safety reasons
76:47
which is again why it's been in the comp
76:48
plan for 10 years
76:50
so given that the planning board
76:53
will not be reviewing this as a site
76:56
plan application
76:57
and it would just be going to code or
76:59
done through the planning office
77:01
i would like to see that addressed here
77:03
because they were
77:05
pretty clear on on that desire
77:09
and again it's a safety issue
77:12
so i guess i would make a motion
77:15
to amend that after
77:20
well i guess we can discuss that first
77:23
if anybody other counselors have any
77:25
comments on it
77:29
so councilor johnson you're talking
77:31
about uh making sure that the
77:33
the lots that go there are sprinkled or
77:37
have sprinklers inside which fits with
77:39
the
77:39
[Music]
77:41
the proposed zoning ordinance revision
77:44
but not the current
77:45
correct zoning ordinance correct
77:49
and then well let's take up that issue
77:52
first
77:56
is
78:00

city administrator kane wrath do you
78:03
have any uh i i don't see
78:08
emily cole prescott in attendance um
78:18
oh i spoke too soon i do see emily cole
78:21
presley
78:21
can we have emily promoted please
78:39
emily thank you for joining us welcome
78:42
thank you so uh the
78:45
the issue has been brought up uh from
78:47
councillor johnston
78:48
uh about having sprinklers uh up in the
78:52
in the gifted portion for any
78:55
residential structure
78:56
uh that they that habitat for humanity
78:59
puts up there
79:00
and that was supported by the planning
79:02
court um
79:05
and this will not be taken up at site
79:06
plan review correct
79:10
um thank you for letting me comment the
79:14
one lot itself so if one single family
79:18
home is built
79:19
on this land it will not qualify for
79:22
a review with the planning board it
79:24
would go directly to code enforcement
79:25
for a building permit
79:27
if two lots were to be built in the
79:30
future it probably would qualify for
79:32
supervision review because the second
79:34
lot would be considered the

79:36
third what and that's what triggers it
79:38
under state law
79:40
so if two homes would be developed it
79:42
would go to the planning board for
79:44
review under subdivision
79:46
the sprinkler residential sprinkler
79:49
requirements
79:50
there is no requirement ordinance right
79:52
now for single-family homes
79:53
the planning board as i recall spoke
79:56
about
79:56
the access the driveway access off of
79:59
flag pond road and that was what they
80:01
were supporting
80:02
i don't believe they had discussions
80:05
um that i recall the sprinkler
80:07
recommendation
80:09
um i know that dave pendleton deputy
80:12
fire chief
80:13
had an initial conversation with them at
80:15
one of the planning board meetings
80:18
early on when the chapter 81 review was
80:20
first
80:21
done for just the habitat donation
80:24
residential
80:25
sprinklers uh will the proposal right
80:28
now for the
80:29
zoning ordinance that you will see in
80:30
front of you in the coming months
80:32

is actually required residential
80:35
sprinklers required in
80:37
subdivision builds so not all single
80:40
family
80:41
new homes and the reason for that was
80:46
to start somewhere and that way
80:49
potential developers would have alert of
80:51
the potential cost
80:53
of installing those systems so it's not
80:57
going to be across the board required
80:59
to have resolutions
81:05
thank you for that for that update
81:08
um any questions
81:12
for emily from the council
81:20
seeing no questions council johnston
81:25
do you want to make a motion i would uh
81:28
make an amendment to your to the
81:30
suggested motion
81:32
yes um i moved to amend
81:36
that after following habitat for
81:39
humanity
81:41
be set the conditions on the donation
81:44
are the following
81:46
all that all new single-family homes
81:49
that are built will have residential
81:50
sprinkler systems installed prior to
81:52
issuance of an occupancy permit
81:55
in future and any future driveway access
81:57
be off marguerite road
81:59
i further move that the proceeds from

82:02
the sale of approximately ten thousand
82:04
square feet of land
82:05
be deposited as as follows three
82:08
thousand dollars to be donated to
82:10
habitat for humanity for use towards the
82:12
cost of installing a residential
82:14
sprinkler system with the remaining to
82:16
be deposited with parks and rec to be
82:18
used for the upkeep
82:20
of the boost bay park playground
82:34
waiting for a second on that i'll second
82:36
that
82:37
is reasonable to me actually i was just
82:40
trying to write it down i don't
82:41
write as fast as counselor johnson
82:43
speaks so
82:44
uh i'm just trying to write that but
82:46
down but i'll just take here
82:48
i will take your second um and so
82:53
counselor johnston just so i have this
82:54
correctly please uh
82:58
the amendment is for habitat for human
83:00
uh habitat for humanity can
83:02
conditions our new single family homes
83:05
will have
83:06
sprinklers and driveway access
83:10
off of margarita and the sale
83:14
of the 10 000 square foot
83:17
section to the abutters will be divided
83:21

with 3 000 going to habitat for humanity
83:25
and the remainder going to spr soccer
83:27
parks and rec
83:28
uh for the dealing with the
83:32
playground that's up in that area do i
83:34
have that correct
83:36
generally yeah i mean it's not word for
83:38
word but that that is the intent yes
83:41
thank you that's what i can read from my
83:43
shorthand while you were talking
83:45
i i can submit the actual please submit
83:49
the actual
83:50
to uh city administrator can wrap
83:53
but just so everyone knows that's what
83:54
we are looking for
83:56
uh at this moment i do see a hand up
83:59
from
83:59
uh habitat for humanity amy nucci
84:03
uh does the council want to hear from uh
84:05
amy on this map
84:07
yes we do
84:11
i don't see any objections uh and since
84:14
we
84:16
allowed some other folks to speak i
84:17
think that it's
84:21
it's uh the right thing to have amy
84:24
promote or
84:24
have amy unmuted
84:28
amy you uh you have now been unmuted uh
84:31
or excuse me you've been

84:32
allowed to speak so please unmute and uh
84:35
give us your name and address for the
84:37
record uh
84:38
and you have three minutes to speak to
84:41
council
84:43
thank you um this is amy nucci 11 paul
84:46
avenue
84:47
um first thank you councillor johnson
84:49
for um
84:51
your thoughtful motion to make a
84:54
donation toward supporting sprinkler
84:56
systems in the residential homes i
84:57
appreciate
84:59
the thought behind that i would just
85:02
like
85:03
to request that
85:06
having a driveway come off a flag pond
85:09
be not included or sorry excluding
85:12
driveways off of flag pond
85:13
um not be included in the motion
85:16
um our intent is to develop
85:20
the first home off of marguerite and we
85:22
understand that it's the planning
85:24
board's
85:25
wish that we don't have any more curb
85:27
cuts on flagpole
85:29
and we would certainly do everything we
85:32
can
85:35
to to follow their directions
85:38

however i would just maybe ask that if
85:39
we leave it out
85:41
and we make the lot division to create
85:44
a second home we will have to go through
85:46
the subdivision process
85:48
and the location of the driveway would
85:50
be reviewed
85:51
in that process i'm just concerned that
85:54
if the property is transferred with
85:55
restrictions right now
85:57
it might tie our hands in terms of our
85:59
ability
86:01
to be able to develop a second lot and
86:06
certainly if ultimately that's the
86:07
planning board's decision that they'd
86:09
prefer
86:10
not to have a second lot so that there's
86:12
no curb cuts on flag pond
86:13
we would understand that but i'm just
86:15
concerned that this might tie our hands
86:17
from the get-go not even give us the
86:19
opportunity
86:20
to explore all of our options thank you
86:24
thank you amy
86:31
we have uh council of purdy then council
86:32
archer council of purdy
86:37
thank you um i
86:41
like the idea of taking the money and
86:42
and donating it towards
86:44
uh the costs for habitat

86:48
build my question was do we know
86:51
what the dollar figure is for a spike
86:53
launch system does that does that three
86:55
thousand dollars cover
87:02
most residential sprinklers are in like
87:04
the ten to fifteen thousand range
87:07
not anymore they're not
87:11
so we i mean counselor i just wanna tag
87:14
them with a ten thousand dollar item and
87:16
only give them three thousand dollars
87:18
towards it
87:23
we have counselor archer then councilor
87:26
johnson council archer
87:29
yes thank you mr mayor uh so obviously
87:32
nothing can happen simply in
87:34
in the world of government and in
87:35
processes um
87:38
initially my my gut's telling me i don't
87:40
like it because we're effectively asking
87:42
mr
87:42
um the lead bergs to give us money for
87:45
the property and then we're just handing
87:46
it away immediately after
87:48
um again it's a good cause for three
87:50
grand i mean we're
87:51
already giving habitat for humanity
87:53
fifty thousand i believe was the price
87:55
of the land
87:57
i'm not against obviously giving but to
87:59

say hey
88:00
we need six grand and we're just handing
88:02
six grand back out i i do have a slight
88:04
problem with that just initially again
88:06
this is the first we've heard of it so i
88:07
haven't had time to process but
88:09
it did initially not hit me correctly
88:13
thank you council archer council of
88:14
johnston thank you
88:16
um well i mean i think everybody
88:18
understands the intent here i mean i
88:20
look at this
88:21
as you know an opportunity we had
88:24
a parcel of land that you know we don't
88:27
have
88:28
current value on but i'd be willing to
88:30
guess that
88:32
it's probably at least worth a hundred
88:33
thousand dollars that we're
88:35
we're gifting um you know we all support
88:37
that
88:38
um obviously we've run into this
88:41
situation
88:42
um side and
88:46
ultimately you know for
88:49
at least for me to go along with it i
88:50
was thinking hey if
88:52
it's going to be the 6000 or thereabouts
88:54
that the city is assessed for
88:57
um and i'm not overly comfortable with

89:00
it because i don't have a true value on
89:01
it
89:02
then it at the end of the day if the
89:04
point was to give this land away and
89:06
help a cause
89:07
and at the also at the end of the day i
89:09
believe in single pin
89:11
residential sprinklers this was a good
89:13
opportunity
89:14
to uh put that forth and
89:17
you then have the park that sits there
89:20
um that i think
89:21
the vast majority of people didn't know
89:22
existed and
89:24
you know from my my experience on the
89:27
parks and rec
89:28
advisory committee that you know funds
89:30
could always be utilized particularly
89:31
for these
89:32
these parks um so that was my rationale
89:36
behind
89:37
the you know the the
89:40
disposition of the money um otherwise
89:44
you know i guess it would go to the
89:46
general fund
89:48
um i mean i guess you could have the
89:51
conversation then maybe you just
89:53
give the land to mr wieberg too i don't
89:55
i don't know
89:56

i mean this is kind of why i've been
89:59
conflicted with this from the beginning
90:00
or at least when it got to this point
90:02
where we're now playing this broker in
90:04
between
90:05
it was never my intent um to have that
90:08
situation it was
90:10
you know a year ago or so last fall when
90:12
it came to us
90:13
it was hey let's do a habitat for
90:15
community home
90:16
you know at that time i had said hey i
90:18
personally would like to see it located
90:20
downtown
90:21
but ultimately the land that was
90:22
selected puts it out you know
90:24
into north saco and and now we've got
90:28
issues with it
90:29
but as far as the residential sprinkler
90:32
costs the whole reason we had these
90:33
conversations
90:34
were um based off
90:38
you know the the um
90:41
presentation that the deputy chief had
90:43
presented us and
90:46
it was my understanding during those
90:48
presentations that
90:49
these things have become much more
90:51
affordable than they were previously
90:53
and the main reason is you're no longer

90:55
using you know metal or copper
90:58
and it's it's pets and so on a new
91:00
family a new construction it
91:02
is i mean the estimates i've seen is
91:03
from 50 cents to a dollar or four
91:05
dollars per square foot
91:06
i mean it varies because obviously
91:08
contractors set those prices
91:10
um but my
91:13
again it's not my area of expertise but
91:16
my understanding was
91:17
you know for a moderate single
91:20
family home similar to what habitat
91:22
would build
91:23
you know you're talking several thousand
91:24
dollars not tens of thousands of dollars
91:27
but again i'm not an expert in that area
91:29
so um
91:31
but that is the information i was
91:32
provided with which is why many of us
91:35
at the planning board you know supported
91:36
this and i i recognize
91:38
and perhaps i misspoke earlier as far as
91:40
saying it's going to be part of the
91:42
ordinance i
91:43
fully understand as part of the
91:44
subdivision ordinance but
91:46
my conversation with deputy chief
91:48
pendleton was that specifically on this
91:50

since we are gifting this
91:52
it would be nice if we show
91:55
our support for for sprinklers in
91:58
single-family homes i mean ultimately
91:59
you're going
92:00
you're it's very likely you're going to
92:01
have somebody at a disadvantage that's
92:03
living here to begin with
92:05
that's the nature of what habitat does
92:07
it could be a senior it could be someone
92:09
of lower income
92:10
so why wouldn't we protect them to the
92:12
fullest extent
92:13
um and so that's my thoughts behind all
92:16
this
92:17
thank you thank you councillor johnston
92:22
any further discussion
92:30
seeing no further discussion roll call
92:32
vote
92:36
let me take that back we have a motion
92:39
we have an amendment
92:40
on the table uh that hasn't been voted
92:44
on
92:44
uh let's take up the amendment than the
92:46
main motion the amendment
92:48
uh made by councillor johnston
92:52
second by councilor copeland uh was to
92:54
amend
92:55
for to put in conditions uh for habitat
92:59
for humanity

93:00
for the new single-family homes and
93:03
um paraphrasing will have sprinklers and
93:06
driveways off of margarite road
93:08
and then the new single family homes
93:10
will have sprinklers inside
93:12
mr mayor i withdraw my second
93:16
i did a quick internet search and found
93:18
that in
93:20
the fire safety whatever there's a
93:23
let me get it i'm not just saying
93:25
whatever
93:27
there's um information out there on the
93:30
internet
93:32
and it's from oh there's not it
93:36
hold on
93:40
okay uh the
93:42
[Music]
93:45
nfpa uh organization that they're
93:49
a fire organization um
93:54
they say that the costs in 2013
93:59
were estimated anywhere from six
94:01
thousand to twenty one thousand
94:04
so given that that was so long ago and
94:08
the lowest was six
94:09
thousand um that
94:12
that scares me that that's gonna be uh
94:14
too much of a burden
94:15
on the habitat even if we give them
94:17
three thousand
94:19

even if we give them all six thousand
94:23
can i just put that reason withdrawal
94:26
thank you
94:27
councillor copeland can i just add a
94:29
correction
94:30
uh the numbers were wrong sorry i i
94:33
think it's based on how the chart
94:34
is identifying it if you look at the
94:36
minimum it's 1695 with a maximum of 21
94:39
000.
94:40
it's actually not in ascending order in
94:43
cost
94:44
i see your thousand twenty-six go
94:48
oh yes i think you're right it goes from
94:51
sixteen hundred okay
94:53
thank you counselor archer right not a
94:54
problem i saw it i was just like oh i
94:56
gotta i gotta interject sorry
94:58
i i appreciate that we we do need to act
95:00
on facts not
95:01
uh fiction so counselor copeland do you
95:03
still want to
95:04
withdraw your second
95:09
it's tough making a decision without
95:11
real information
95:13
um i i will second if it's that low i
95:15
will second it
95:19
okay so on the on the on the amended
95:22
motion
95:23
uh

95:26
roll call vote on the amendment
95:31
if there's no further discussion mr
95:34
mayor could you just restate the motion
95:39
amendment the amendment is to put
95:42
conditions
95:43
for habitat for humanity that every new
95:47
single family home
95:48
on that parcel will have sprinklers
95:50
residential sprinkler system
95:53
and driveways for that parcel will come
95:56
off of
95:56
margarite road not flag pond road with
95:59
the
96:00
sale of the 10 000 square foot portion
96:04
to the abutter out of the proceeds of
96:06
that sale
96:07
3000 will be given to habitat for
96:09
humanity
96:10
uh and their remainder will go to uh
96:14
spr for maintaining the uh
96:17
the playground up in that area that is
96:20
the
96:21
motion that is the amendment to the main
96:23
motion
96:24
and we have a hand up from council
96:26
mcphail councilman phil
96:28
i counsel johnson i just want to make
96:30
sure based on amy's comments um that
96:33
we are still leaving in the driveway
96:41

um counselor johnston thank you
96:45
um i mean i i would
96:48
i'd like to see that but you know
96:50
ultimately i i care
96:53
i think she has valid points as far as
96:56
if they build a second
96:58
home that would go to subdivision review
97:00
and it would
97:01
be within the purview of the planning
97:02
board to make that determination
97:04
so i would be agreeable to striking that
97:07
if it
97:07
would you know encourage other
97:09
counselors to support the first
97:11
amendment so
97:15
are you amending your amendment
97:32
yes
97:39
all right so the the amendment to the
97:41
amendment
97:43
and the amendment is to withdraw the
97:46
driveways off of marguerite road
97:49
condition
97:55
and that's that i'm just doing shorthand
97:58
here so
97:59
that is the the intent if there's a
98:02
specific intent outside of that
98:04
please let me know but that is the
98:06
intent that i got
98:08
okay so that motion has been made by
98:11
councillor johnson is there a second

98:16
second second by councilor macphail uh
98:19
for discussion councilor copeland on the
98:21
amendment to the amendment
98:23
yes uh my question is about the
98:25
sprinkler for the second house so
98:27
if we're gonna give them 3 000 for the
98:30
first so we're going to take some for
98:32
the park
98:34
i would be in favor of giving it all to
98:36
the habitat
98:37
folks because if they're going to put
98:40
another house up there and i really hope
98:41
they do
98:43
um you know okay and we're telling them
98:46
they got to put sprinklers in
98:47
we should fund it
98:51
thank you counselor copeland council
98:53
archer
98:55
yes thank you mr marin it comes down to
98:57
i just don't
98:58
feel i just don't feel good my stomach's
99:02
shifting that we're taking money
99:03
from the left and literally handing out
99:05
to the right um
99:07
i'd be more on board just let's just
99:09
let's just donate the land
99:11
to the liebergs and then they figured
99:12
out themselves or something else but
99:14
the proposal that we have we're and i
99:16

don't want to say butchering it because
99:17
we're not butchering it we're adding
99:19
discussion to it but again i it's
99:22
definitely not what the the animal was
99:24
when it first came out
99:25
and um i'm starting not to like what i
99:28
see
99:28
and again we're taking money from the
99:30
liebergs and again
99:31
we're giving it to an organization i get
99:33
that i'm i'm a social worker so
99:35
that's what i like to the way it's
99:38
happening so i'm gonna be voting this
99:39
amendment
99:40
down unfortunately thank you council
99:43
archer councilor copeland
99:50
i'm good i made my piece i saw your hand
99:54
up i didn't know if that was before or
99:56
not but
99:57
i guess i'm spoiled that andrew used to
99:58
put them down for us okay
100:00
okay okay so on the amendment to the
100:03
amendment and that is withdrawing the re
100:05
the condition of driveways off margaret
100:08
road
100:13
any further discussion on that
100:25
roll call vote on on the amendment to
100:27
the amendment
100:28
uh council archer for the amendment to
100:30
the amendment to withdraw from the road

100:34
yes
100:37
and council of purdie
100:41
yes councillor gunn
100:45
no councillor copeland
100:49
yes councilman minthorn yes
100:53
councilor macphail yes and councillor
100:57
johnston
100:58
yes the amendment to the amendment
101:01
passes 6-1
101:02
so now that the
101:06
amendment as amended will read
101:09
will read
101:12
the current motion plus habitat for
101:15
humanity conditions
101:17
new single-family homes will have
101:20
residential sprinkler systems and of the
101:23
proceeds
101:24
from the sale of the portion to the
101:28
budding to the abutter from the sale of
101:31
that 3000 will be donated to habitat for
101:34
humanity
101:34
with the remainder going to spr for
101:37
maintaining the
101:39
uh maintaining the
101:42
uh the playground up there so we are
101:47
back on the amendment to the main motion
101:51
any just any further discussion on that
101:57
so you're voting on basically requiring
102:00
anything
102:00

any single family homes there to have
102:02
sprinkler systems
102:04
residential sprinkler systems and the
102:06
proceeds from the sale of the property
102:08
to the
102:09
butters that will be divvied up and
102:11
handed out
102:12
between habitat for humanity and soccer
102:14
parks and recreation
102:16
that is the amendment that you're voting
102:18
on
102:19
any further discussion councilor coppola
102:22
well i
102:22
i don't want it just to go into the
102:24
soccer parks and rex general fund i mean
102:26
if it's not going to be
102:27
earmarked for this specific um
102:31
boothbay park uh then i wouldn't be for
102:34
it but again
102:35
i would be happy if somebody would um
102:38
agree with me that we should give all of
102:40
it to
102:42
habitat for the fire sprinklers that
102:44
would help me
102:45
with the um the issue that i have that
102:48
these people
102:49
are just having their stuff on other
102:51
people's property and aren't moving them
102:53
so that helps me that we're helping
102:56
others so that's my point

102:58
thank you counselor copeland i i did
103:00
read out that the money is for that
103:03
that playground up there uh as that was
103:06
the condition
103:07
uh that counselor johnston spoke of in
103:09
his amendment
103:11
counselor johnson am i correct that i
103:13
have your amendment
103:15
intent intact
103:18
yeah i mean i think that you know you've
103:21
expressed it how i have and
103:22
the intent is for to go to that
103:24
playground and
103:26
um i mean i understand counselor
103:29
copeland's
103:30
desire to see it all go but
103:33
if it comes a time where habitat is
103:37
looking to subdivide again
103:40
nothing prevents the city of sacco from
103:42
again donating
103:44
so if if that raised to that level of a
103:47
concern
103:49
a disadvantage where they couldn't build
103:51
because they didn't have those funds for
103:53
it i
103:53
certainly would would you know support
103:56
that
103:57
uh donation from the city
104:00
thank you thank you councillor johnston
104:02

any further discussion on the amendment
104:07
okay roll call vote on the amendment
104:11
and do we need me to read the amendment
104:13
one more time
104:19
for all those joining us at home just
104:21
the amendment again
104:22
is to add conditions to the habitat for
104:25
humanity parcel
104:27
that new single-family homes will have
104:29
residential sprinkler systems in
104:31
and the sale of the proceed from
104:35
to the abutter of land will be broken up
104:38
with 3 000 going to habitat for humanity
104:42
and the remainder going to sacco parks
104:44
and rec uh
104:45
for for the uh playground
104:51
all right roll call vote on the on the
104:53
amendment
104:54
that's that's boothbay park yes so we're
104:57
specific about the name of it
105:08
thank you counselor copeland so
105:14
council arch nay
105:18
councilor purdy no
105:22
councillor gunn no
105:26
councillor copeland yes counselor
105:30
minthorn
105:32
yo
105:35
councillor macphail yes
105:38
and councillor johnston yes
105:41
the motion fails 3-4

105:45
back to the main motion
105:50
any further discussion on the main
105:52
motion please read it again
105:56
i move to approve the final reading for
105:58
the following order
105:59
in substantially the same form as
106:01
presented
106:03
be it ordered the city administrator is
106:04
hereby authorized to execute sale of
106:07
approximately ten thousand square feet
106:09
of land
106:10
for assessed land valuation with buyer
106:12
covering
106:13
all associated fees and to execute all
106:16
necessary documents to donate specified
106:19
portion of land
106:20
of to marguerite road to habitat for
106:23
humanity
106:25
that is the motion
106:30
councilor johnson
106:35
um let's see if i can amend this again
106:38
um since the motion doesn't specify
106:42
where this
106:43
money or these proceeds are going to go
106:45
in per chapter 81 that's up to council
106:48
uh i would i would move that the
106:50
entirety of the proceeds
106:52
go to habitat for humanity then
107:01
by the way i heard that
107:06

you got you might want to mute your mic
107:07
there counselors
107:15
uh so the amendment councilor johnson is
107:18
to all the
107:19
the proceeds of the entire sale go to
107:22
habitat for humanity
107:24
that is the motion okay
107:28
motion's been made by councillor johnson
107:29
is there a second second
107:32
exactly my counselor copeland any
107:34
discussion
107:48
i mean what i would say
107:52
council johnson i'm sorry council archer
107:54
had his hand up
107:55
council archer yes thank you mr mayor
107:58
it's i get that this is money going to
108:01
to an organization and it it's i mean we
108:04
gave them the land so again i'm i'm on
108:06
board for this
108:07
i'm still on board for the original uh
108:09
been on board for a while once we got
108:11
the assessed value and some of the
108:12
details worked out i've been on board
108:15
since
108:16
i just don't think that the 6 000 or
108:18
whatever the
108:19
value is what we get is not a good use
108:21
of putting this money forward and
108:23
and for donation to come at the very
108:24
last minute like this i

108:26
again it's just against my gut right now
108:29
um
108:30
so i'm going to be voting no that we
108:31
just it goes into the general fund like
108:33
all general
108:34
sales of property thank you council
108:38
archer councillor johnson
108:40
um i mean again i i already expressed
108:42
that i
108:43
wasn't comfortable with this when this
108:46
new edition
108:46
got added so the
108:50
the the problem here is that we're
108:52
ultimately giving away
108:54
money it's how i force or see this you
108:56
have property it has a value we're
108:58
giving it away
108:59
which is fine it's our you know within
109:02
our purview
109:03
um so i equally don't feel
109:06
okay charging the butter for money
109:10
or for this chunk of land
109:14
if that's how we're going to be because
109:15
ultimately we're losing out so my intent
109:17
was to you know okay
109:19
obviously the emba the butter or
109:21
previous of butters whoever owned
109:22
earlier you know
109:26
approached onto the city's land here's
109:28

an opportunity
109:29
for you know us to take that and and
109:33
do good with it um you know obviously i
109:37
tried to tie it to sprinklers and i
109:40
would
109:40
hope that you know habitat would use it
109:42
for that purpose
109:43
but at the end of the day why are we
109:45
doing this at all let's just give both
109:47
lots away
109:52
thank you councilor johnston councilor
109:55
purdy then council archer
110:01
um i'm i'm actually i'm i'm supportive
110:04
of
110:05
of giving the giving the money to
110:08
habitat um
110:10
we're let's i mean we're
110:13
we're trying to do a good thing here so
110:15
let's uh
110:16
let's take it all the way with it that's
110:19
all i got second
110:21
thank you councillor purdy council
110:22
archer
110:24
yes thank you mr mayor so again
110:27
i believe that we should be selling this
110:29
property one it's it's property that we
110:31
own we're not just giving it away
110:33
now i'm talking about just a portion of
110:35
where this person's property was and
110:37
where there's been some confusion

110:39
this our action today if we decide to
110:42
sell it and it's sold
110:43
creates a time stamp for all future
110:45
property owners we can actually solve
110:47
this problem
110:48
for many years to come because there'll
110:50
be a date where the the
110:52
land of the sale will literally time
110:53
stamp it saying the date of some
110:56
time into 2020 is that this property is
110:59
now
111:00
this and it kind of solves this problem
111:02
so by selling it
111:03
getting a good time stamp you have that
111:06
dollar figure which i know the court's
111:07
deeds love to have that
111:09
i i know a lot of times people buy
111:11
property for a dollar to say that there
111:12
was a transaction
111:14
it creates that time stamp i think this
111:16
is fair to the citizens to get some
111:18
value
111:18
for the property that we are selling
111:21
it's fair to the person who owns the
111:22
property who has had
111:24
again we're not going to go into the
111:25
details of yeah he's had some of his own
111:27
property where it's not supposed to be
111:30
how that person's going to repair that
111:32

is they're going to pay for the land
111:33
and it'll become theirs and we've
111:34
created a time stamp that allow us to
111:36
move forward
111:37
um and in the in in the interim you also
111:40
have two
111:40
possibly two new homes being built by
111:42
habitat humanity to help some lower
111:43
income
111:44
citizens so what i think we have here is
111:47
a really good solution
111:48
and again assessed value is a fair value
111:51
um
111:51
and assessed values can then actually be
111:54
outside
111:55
of the city outside of anybody can
111:57
assess it again and they can say hey
111:59
yeah
111:59
was it a fair value or not so it's
112:01
actually putting the city kind of on
112:02
notice saying hey
112:03
this better be a true assessed value
112:06
within reason and the flexibility that
112:07
an assessor has
112:09
that's all i have thank you council
112:12
archer councilor copeland
112:18
thank you mr mayor
112:21
we want to donate this these two pieces
112:24
to habitat for humanity that's what we
112:26
want to do that's our goal that's how we

112:28
started
112:29
we came across this convoluted situation
112:32
and um i think that um
112:35
it would be fair and equitable if this
112:38
person
112:39
paid if you know i personally think that
112:42
they should be removed i've expressed
112:43
that but if
112:44
everybody agrees that that it should be
112:46
sold
112:47
then sell it and i don't i don't think
112:50
that the
112:51
registry of these cares how much a
112:54
property is sold for i don't think
112:55
that's an argument at all uh
112:58
and just putting it into our general
113:00
fund um
113:02
you know so then so then it's like we're
113:04
making the city's making money off of
113:06
this and i don't think that that's what
113:08
our intent is
113:09
our intent is to donate to habitat
113:13
so i suggest that we donate the land and
113:16
we have this
113:17
um you know situation rectified so that
113:21
all the people who email and call don't
113:24
think that somebody's getting away with
113:26
something that they can't
113:28
so i think that giving the money to
113:30

habitat solves all of this
113:32
we don't have to take the money in
113:34
because we're trying to
113:36
to give it to habitat and it uh adds
113:39
some justice
113:40
in there some um you know fairness
113:43
my opinion thank you councilor copeland
113:46
council purdy
113:48
yeah um i don't think uh
113:51
i have no problem with with with selling
113:54
the property to the leedbergs
113:56
um but the um
114:00
i think that they they were under the
114:04
impression that that was their property
114:05
already and they've been paying
114:07
taxes on what they thought was up and
114:10
up until this whole thing happened and
114:13
nobody
114:14
you know they didn't realize that those
114:17
sheds were built
114:18
not on their property they thought there
114:20
was a property their driveway actually
114:23
isn't
114:23
even on their property so
114:27
i said i have no problem with with but
114:30
let's let's get it
114:31
let's take care of it sell it to them
114:33
get it fixed
114:34
that way the the deed for habitat is
114:38
is is clean and

114:42
it's taken care of and and but i do
114:44
agree with council johnson let's let's
114:46
donate the money to have it
114:50
thank you councillor purdy any further
114:53
discussion
114:55
on the amendment now made that
114:58
all the proceeds will go to habitat for
115:02
humanity
115:03
made by council of johnston second by
115:05
councilor copeland
115:09
saying no further discussion on the
115:12
amendment
115:15
a roll call vote
115:20
counselor archer on the amendment
115:24
no counselor purdy
115:29
yes counselor gunn
115:33
no counselor copeland
115:36
yes councillor menthorne
115:40
no
115:43
councillor mcphail yes
115:46
and counselor johnson yes
115:49
motion passes for three
115:54
so now that you have the main motion the
115:56
original motion
115:57
uh as amended with the proceeds going to
116:00
habitat for humanity
116:03
any further discussion on the main
116:04
motion as amended
116:08
council argument it's just more of a
116:10

technical question that
116:12
um i just need to know if no the answer
116:14
is
116:15
i mean we're technically spending money
116:17
today does that need to go through
116:18
through a different process
116:20
no okay
116:23
i'll take that as the answer but um
116:27
we're spending money we haven't sold we
116:29
haven't even sold the pro again
116:31
we haven't we're not actually spending
116:32
money because we haven't sold the
116:33
property so i get that i miss smoke on
116:34
that one
116:35
um but we are presenting uh
116:38
funds to donate to a uh entity
116:42
a that's out there without really due
116:44
process with the city
116:46
provide or city citizens providing input
116:48
so again that's i'm looking at the
116:50
technicality nothing
116:51
more about that as i understand it
116:54
in you're not
116:57
spending money you're putting conditions
116:59
on the execution of a
117:01
purchase and sale or a a gift
117:04
uh and therefore you're it's not
117:06
required to have a five two super much
117:08
more or
117:10
a super majority as with a budget

117:12
amendment
117:13
uh it's not that uh that type of process
117:16
okay
117:17
it's been disgusting thank you
117:21
city administrator kanrath would you uh
117:23
would you agree with that assessment
117:26
i'm also from here yes
117:31
counselor johnson and then councilor
117:35
johnson
117:35
yeah thank you um yeah i would just just
117:38
add it's not a
117:39
budgetary item so it's pretty clear in
117:42
in
117:43
the charter when a 5-2 or super majority
117:46
is needed
117:46
and this falls under chapter 81 if you
117:49
look at that chapter it's clear at the
117:51
bottom of it
117:52
that city council directs where these
117:54
funds go it doesn't say anything about a
117:56
super majority
117:57
so i just hope that you know helps
118:00
satisfy your
118:01
your question
118:04
thank you councilor johnson any further
118:08
discussion on the main motion as amended
118:13
see no further discussion on the main
118:16
motion as amended
118:17
roll call vote council archer for the
118:19

main motion yes
118:23
council of purdey yes
118:26
councillor gunn yes councillor copeland
118:30
yes councilman thorne yes
118:34
councilor mcphail yes councillor
118:36
johnston
118:37
yes the main motion as amended passes
118:41
7-0 thank you counselors
118:43
uh for all the
118:46
hard work and getting through that and
118:48
bearing with me
118:50
and my writing uh not as fast as people
118:52
can talk and i'm trying to get all those
118:54
amendments correctly
118:56
correctly identified so thank you
118:58
everybody for that with that we are on
119:00
to item d
119:00
the authorization and creation of a wrdd
119:04
coastal resil resilient excuse me
119:07
resiliency
119:09
ad hoc committee uh and that was uh
119:12
discussed
119:13
uh in our one of our july meetings that
119:16
we would be
119:17
looking to bring that forward for the
119:19
creation of
119:21
councillor mcphail thank you mr mayor
119:24
the purpose of this ad hoc committee is
119:26
to bring together members of the
119:27
community

119:28
city councilors and staff to identify a
119:31
set of community goals to guide future
119:33
investment and priorities
119:35
for the water resource recovery
119:36
department the wrrd
119:38
and communications department are
119:40
partnering with the u.s environmental
119:42
protection agency
119:44
to convene this community stakeholder
119:46
group and facilitate and support
119:48
meaningful conversations
119:49
between the anchor institution and
119:51
community members
119:53
the committee appointed by the mayor and
119:55
approved by council will consist of 12
119:57
to 15 members
119:59
be it ordered that the city council
120:01
authorized the creation of an ad hoc
120:03
wrrd postal resiliency committee
120:07
and allow the mayor to appoint members
120:09
with council's approval
120:10
to the committee as necessary i move to
120:12
approve the order
120:15
thank you councilor mcphail is there a
120:16
second exact second
120:18
second my counselor menthorne
120:20
[Music]
120:23
for those uh that were in attendance if
120:25
you remember uh
120:28

director carter came and gave us a
120:31
a presentation on this and this is a
120:34
good pilot project with the epa
120:37
uh and moving forward
120:40
for our our resiliency in in that area
120:43
so um this is just to start the process
120:46
of establishing a committee uh to
120:49
identify areas
120:50
uh that we need to work on as a city
120:54
councilor johnson
120:57
thank you i was just looking for clarity
120:59
because i i thought we had approved this
121:01
at last
121:02
meeting uh august third
121:05
meeting i could have sworn we read the
121:08
motion
121:08
and i think i amended the motion and
121:11
then there was a vote
121:12
but there were there were two other
121:14
committees formed
121:15
so i i know you're mixing them all up
121:19
you you may be mixing uh them up but i
121:21
was sure to make
121:23
uh you did this had the correct
121:28
i figured you would be amending if we
121:30
didn't
121:32
all right i was just curious but okay no
121:34
we did discuss this in july
121:36
with a presentation from director carter
121:40
and uh also emily roy uh where we did

121:42
talk about putting this on the agenda
121:44
actually for the creation of
121:47
new business at that time so again
121:51
as we've talked about new businesses
121:53
kind of uh
121:54
kind of in the form of bringing
121:57
items to your attention uh have some
122:00
discussion over those and then you see
122:02
those again later on
122:03
under action items not everything will
122:07
take that
122:07
route especially if it's items that are
122:12
that the council knows are coming and
122:14
knows is coming or
122:16
knows uh you know that we've been
122:18
dealing with for
122:20
for quite some time so that's why you
122:23
you you might have seen this before uh
122:26
and it's in a different form
122:30
with that said any further discussion on
122:31
the creation of this uh
122:33
ad hoc committee
122:38
seeing no further discussion no counsel
122:40
or seeking recognition
122:41
rule called vote council archer aye
122:44
councilor purdy
122:46
yes counselor gun yes
122:49
counselor copeland yes councilman
122:52
thorne yes councillor mcphail
122:55

yes councillor johnston yes
122:58
motion passes 7-0 thank you very much
123:02
uh with that we are on to item e uh
123:05
councilor macphail thank you mr mayor
123:09
the purpose of this commission is to
123:11
bring together members of the community
123:13
city councillors and staff to improve
123:15
the aesthetic quality of the city's
123:16
public spaces
123:18
the commission will encourage and
123:19
support art in our community
123:21
while strengthening economic development
123:23
and improving quality of life
123:25
the commission will act as an advisory
123:27
board to the city council and city staff
123:30
to provide expertise on visual arts to
123:32
enrich the cultural life of the
123:34
community
123:35
the committee appointed by the mayor and
123:37
approved by council
123:38
will consist of nine members be it
123:41
ordered that the city
123:42
council authorized the creation of an
123:44
arts commission
123:45
and allowed the mayor to appoint members
123:47
with council approval
123:48
to the commission as necessary i move to
123:50
approve the order
123:54
second
123:59
motion's been made by councilor mcphail

124:01
sir
124:02
secretary councillor copeland
124:05
councillor johnston um i mean i just
124:09
looked at the minutes
124:10
from last meeting and we've approved
124:12
this part commission
124:19
i'm looking back at the 8-3 packet
124:22
and i i do think that we
124:27
would both i mean i i guess there's no
124:29
harm in improving it twice it's just
124:32
i'm just you know it seems like we
124:34
approved both the
124:36
the previous one and this art commission
124:39
last
124:40
august 3rd
124:50
i think we brought it back to address
124:51
the areas that you identified there were
124:54
amendments that needed to be fixed uh if
124:56
that were if i remember correctly
124:58
but again there's there's no harm in
125:00
approving this
125:02
uh and moving forward mr mayor
125:06
city administrator yeah i just want to
125:08
second that i think i'm
125:09
trying to remember back to our
125:10
discussion of the agenda i think the
125:11
reason it's on here again is because
125:13
there was an issue with the motion
125:15
for these two committees the last time
125:16

where it was mayor appoints and
125:18
approves himself so we added approved by
125:21
council into the motion
125:22
so that reads correctly i think that's
125:24
why these are back here now
125:26
i don't think there's any harm in just
125:28
taking another action and
125:30
approving thank you city administrator
125:34
kanrath councillor johnston yeah i don't
125:36
think there's any harm it's just
125:37
i did make a motion to amend and it was
125:40
approved and then
125:41
the motion passed with seven yeses on
125:43
the main motion
125:44
as amended so we've technically already
125:47
approved these but again
125:48
it's no harm doing it twice like i guess
125:51
i mean
125:52
it's just a formality at this point i
126:00
guess
126:03
any further discussion on this
126:08
seeing no further discussion council
126:10
archer
126:11
aye councillor purdy
126:14
yes counselor gun yes
126:18
counselor copeland yes councillor
126:21
menthorne
126:22
yes councilman mcfail yes
126:25
councillor johnston yes motion passes
126:28
seven zero

126:30
with that we are to item f which was the
126:33
biddeford soccer world order to beat
126:34
transit lease approval that was taken
126:36
out of consent agenda
126:38
and moved into action items so uh
126:46
we have
126:52
let me get to the right packet here i'm
126:55
i'm the resource on that mr mayor
127:00
page three in the packet the soob
127:03
transit would like to continue using the
127:05
office space at the transportation
127:08
center attached as the lease be it
127:11
ordered the city council
127:12
authorized the city administrator to
127:14
enter into a lease agreement with
127:15
biddeford sacco old orchard beach transit
127:18
for the use of the office space at the
127:20
transit
127:21
transportation center i moved to approve
127:23
the order
127:29
motion's been made by councilman second
127:31
by council archer any discussion
127:34
counselor copeland
127:39
thank you mr mayor i'm curious if um the
127:41
city solicitor has looked at this latest
127:44
version
127:45
city administrative candrath yes the
127:48
city solicitor put together this
127:49
uh this lease after consultation with
127:51

all the parties yes
127:54
but has he looked at these amendments
127:58
the lease in the packet is the one the
128:00
city of the solicitor did
128:01
uh as far as
128:06
the one that he looked at i i would like
128:10
on point number seven uh the bottom
128:14
sentence the last sentence there says
128:16
the tenant shall be responsible for the
128:18
supplies toilet paper cleaning materials
128:20
light bulbs
128:21
etc required by the least space
128:25
i don't think at least space can require
128:29
things and say what they require
128:32
i i don't really know what that thing
128:39
required for the least space is that
128:40
what they're trying to say i just the
128:42
whole
128:43
thing was just
128:47
all right this reason they are they are
128:49
supplying their own
128:51
supplies in that list and this is
128:52
already in their current lease the same
128:54
language
128:55
all right well i i would move that we
128:57
make it required for the lease
128:58
space not by the way squeeze
129:02
and i had a bunch of uh scrivener's
129:04
errors and things that i
129:06
sent in my uh request that they be uh

129:16
added
129:20
because specifically number 25 talks
129:22
about a no smoking policy
129:25
and they talk about that they are
129:27
prohibited from smoking in
129:29
any part of the premises but
129:32
we have a city ordinance that no
129:34
city-owned property
129:36
uh you can't smoke on city owned
129:38
property at all
129:39
anywhere on it so that includes the
129:41
whole parking lot and everywhere around
129:43
it so
129:44
i wanted that to be added to say
129:47
per city ordinance
129:54
so counselor copeland why don't we take
129:56
these amendments one at a time here
129:58
with the first amendment being
130:02
on page four last sentence
130:06
or section seven on page four last
130:09
sentence
130:12
delete by
130:15
uh and add
130:21
four
130:25
least space is that correct that is
130:28
correct
130:28
do you want me to go through all these
130:30
little ones or
130:32
no let's take them one at a time well
130:35

but that
130:36
these are scrivener errors i think
130:41
i don't think that they're changing any
130:42
you know they
130:44
in some parts it refers to least
130:47
premises
130:47
some parts it refers to least space
130:52
um that's
130:56
why don't we take the material changes
130:59
right that's what i'm trying to say
131:01
one at a time and then the scrivener's
131:03
notes you can make one motion
131:05
uh to identify all the scribner's notes
131:07
and see if that
131:09
gets a second and passes council but
131:10
let's take the
131:12
the two issues you you identified first
131:14
as their material changes to the lease
131:17
so minor but yeah
131:21
so the first one is to amend that page
131:24
four
131:24
section seven uh you've made that motion
131:26
is there a second for that motion
131:29
second second by council of menthorne
131:32
uh any discussion on that on that motion
131:36
councilor johnson
131:41
what's up sorry my hands just up to
131:44
speak after
131:45
counselor goldman okay nothing on the
131:48
motion

131:49
thank you mr mayor if we can take these
131:51
uh this three
131:52
three ones three of these uh fixes and
131:55
do them as one i think it's a waste of
131:57
time to go through them all i don't
131:58
think anybody will
131:59
really care um
132:02
can we do that if uh if you're if
132:06
if counselor mentor is willing to
132:08
withdraw his motion and allow you to
132:11
amend your motion uh then we can take
132:13
some of those material changes i just
132:15
want to make sure that we don't get
132:18
too uh far into the weeds and and not be
132:21
able i'm trying to say
132:22
let's not but i will withdraw my second
132:27
to lynn's motion to amend okay
132:30
thank you councillor copeland right
132:33
thank you
132:34
so let's start at number six the renewal
132:36
option
132:37
it's the the reading says that there is
132:40
no right of renewal but the parties will
132:42
consult three months prior but i would
132:45
suggest that we don't say will and that
132:48
we say what
132:50
really is going to be happening that the
132:51
parties agreed to
132:53
consult which with each other so that
132:56

to me is a scrivener thing i don't know
132:58
what it is to you so i'll just say it
133:00
and then number seven and bill you don't
133:03
have to make notes because this is all
133:04
in the
133:05
red line version that i emailed to
133:07
counsel
133:08
or to i don't know if i'm not counsel
133:11
but i know i emailed it to you
133:13
and uh brian number seven the last one
133:16
just
133:16
should say required for the least space
133:20
or at least premises is actually
133:22
probably better but
133:23
i don't want to get carried away and
133:25
then the other one was the smoking
133:28
um and just to say per ordinance
133:31
so we're not saying that they can smoke
133:34
here there or wherever
133:35
the ordinance says no smoking on city
133:37
property so let's just
133:39
go with that
133:45
the end and then the little scrivener's
133:47
error
133:48
you know corrections
133:52
counselor copeland just confirmed
133:54
everything you just reviewed was what
133:55
you emailed earlier
133:56
to me it is okay good just want to make
133:58
sure i have all that

134:00
right that's all that's all it is yep
134:03
and i i had some question about is our
134:06
is the saku transportation
134:08
center actually called the sacco
134:10
biddeford transportation
134:12
center i was unaware yes but balance as
134:15
it is but
134:16
i was unaware of that so news to me
134:20
i i think news to me too that's
134:24
that's in the legal description of
134:27
several of the leases on that building
134:30
wasn't that part of the funding because
134:32
that that was built by federal funds
134:33
correct
134:34
and it had to be like a joint it had to
134:36
be a joint
134:40
one person talking at a time please
134:43
uh all right so counselor copeland
134:46
uh we have your your edits uh and we
134:49
have the areas you've identified on page
134:51
four
134:52
section 6 and section 7 and then page 9
134:55
section 25 tying it to the smoking
134:58
ordinance
134:59
uh for the community i will second those
135:03
in total there's a motion and then
135:07
there's a second
135:08
a motion made by councillor copeland
135:10
second by councilman thorne
135:12

any discussion on those
135:17
on those three for pages two on page
135:20
four
135:20
and one on page nine
135:23
council of purdy yeah i'm
135:27
ah i'm uncomfortable voting on something
135:29
that i haven't
135:30
been able to read
135:35
as far as the legal document goes
135:39
just i just sent it to you by email
135:43
that's okay
135:47
uh counselor counselor party do you want
135:48
to make a motion to the table
135:51
it's real they're really simple it'll
135:52
take you two seconds to look at it
135:54
everything is highlighted i'm a
135:55
highlighter okay
135:58
there's been a motion table is there a
136:00
second
136:02
second i will second that motion
136:05
and the table made by uh councillor
136:08
purdy
136:09
i heard that two seconds i think
136:12
marshall was first and then councillor
136:15
gunn
136:23
council archer hi
136:28
councillor purdy yeah counselor gun
136:33
yes counselor copeland
136:37
well even though it's mine i i have to
136:39
agree with procedure they didn't have it

136:41
so i agreed that it should be tabled and
136:43
they should be
136:44
considering what the actual changes are
136:48
council mentor no
136:52
counselor macphail yes
136:57
councilor johnson
137:02
oh yes
137:05
motion a table passes
137:09
6-1 we will see this again
137:12
on september 14th at our next city
137:15
council meeting september 14th
137:18
mr mayor
137:21
councillor johnson um we never got to
137:25
what i had wanted to speak on um so
137:28
if it's all right video i just request
137:31
when this comes back to us
137:33
that uh well the city administrator
137:35
probably could confirm one of these
137:37
right now but
137:38
has this lease been reviewed by the
137:40
facilities director
137:41
would be one question yes um yes
137:44
okay and then the other thing i'm
137:47
looking for
137:48
um i believe it was about a year ago
137:51
when we first approved this and i
137:52
stated at that time that when we
137:56
when the citizens and and the city built
137:59
the transportation center the intent was
138:02

for it to bring in um
138:05
some revenue to help offset the cost and
138:07
it previously was around
138:09
20 000 or more
138:12
it's now much less and i was just
138:15
curious
138:16
or or would appreciate a detailed budget
138:19
for operations and maintenance for the
138:20
building
138:23
because as i understand it the the cost
138:25
of operating the building has
138:27
significantly increased so it's just
138:28
something i'd like to see
138:30
thank you thank you councillor johnson
138:34
councillor gunn your hand is up uh it
138:36
has been for a little bit but
138:38
if it's on uh okay
138:43
with that we are past item f we are on
138:47
to
138:48
new business item 10 and we have
138:51
three items for consideration and the
138:54
first item
138:54
is a local option sales tax support
138:57
letter to the governor
138:59
so the state of maine legislature is
139:00
looking at a
139:02
a uh
139:05
a local sales tax option uh and
139:08
some of the communities are sending
139:10
letters of just

139:11
to support uh to make sure that
139:15
the leadership of both the the house the
139:17
senate and the governor
139:19
know that there are communities that
139:21
support a local option sales tax
139:24
the ld tied to this is ld 1254
139:28
and it's a it's a local option sales tax
139:32
to help
139:33
offset with opioid
139:37
issues that are related let me just read
139:39
the
139:40
an act to authorize a local option sales
139:43
tax on meals and lodging and provide
139:44
funding to treat opioid use of disorder
139:48
sponsored by representative michael
139:50
sylvester
139:51
it was the last house in senate action
139:54
on 317
139:55
2020 it was carried over to any special
139:58
session
139:59
with the legislature looking at coming
140:01
back in a special session
140:04
perhaps at some point here in the next
140:06
couple of months
140:08
the idea is to get this before the
140:09
leadership
140:11
if there's council support to do so
140:21
councilor johnson thank you so you're
140:24
saying that this is
140:25

kind of being requested um
140:28
by the legislature i guess
140:32
i mean this is the first i think we've
140:34
in the past council
140:35
may have have discussed local option
140:37
sales tax and
140:39
at different levels but this the first
140:41
have really
140:42
been uh faced with this so i'm just
140:46
it's new to me and i'm not really sure
140:48
how i feel about it
140:49
um i i obviously can read
140:53
read what's before us but it kind of
140:56
lacks the details as to what exactly
140:58
the local option sales tax would be on
141:01
um i understand
141:03
you know that municipalities resources
141:05
are limited but at the same time
141:07
tax is a tax and it's going to impact
141:09
our local residents
141:12
so i guess i'm looking for more
141:13
information and then
141:15
additionally when the whole marijuana
141:19
recreational use when started
141:22
there was a local option tax and to my
141:24
knowledge that's been removed
141:26
and i'm curious why that isn't included
141:28
in this because
141:29
to me it's the local level that feels
141:31
those impacts um

141:33
of the sales and the what comes with
141:36
that so
141:37
i guess that's something i would like as
141:39
part of that local option
141:40
sales tax
141:44
counselor johnson i i i can appreciate
141:47
that
141:47
i just know that in dealing with the
141:50
mayor's coalition
141:52
many communities are putting forth
141:54
letters of support
141:56
to give the governor guidance uh on a
142:00
proving
142:01
if the senate and the house approve a
142:02
local option sales tax
142:04
that the governor will sign it as she
142:07
has vetoed many
142:08
many other bills including the one
142:10
you're talking about
142:11
uh with the uh local option sales tax on
142:16
recreational marijuana i don't even know
142:19
if that got to her before it was
142:21
before it was nixed but the idea here is
142:23
to just
142:24
open up the lines of communication let
142:28
them know that we're amenable it would
142:29
still have to go to referendum within
142:31
the community to pass
142:32
anything or to opt in as the
142:36

as the ld specifies you have to opt
142:40
in just like anything else and that's
142:41
done through our referendum process and
142:44
you can also opt
142:45
out through a referendum process as well
142:48
and that is ld uh 12
142:51
54. uh you can look that up
142:54
uh and again there's no there's no um
142:59
we're not looking for any kind of action
143:02
tonight it's just bringing it before
143:05
before the council for consideration
143:08
and we will see it again as we start to
143:10
get forward
143:12
we want to get this before the
143:13
legislature before they reconvene if
143:15
they do reconvene
143:16
so with that i have asked or i've been
143:20
asked to
143:20
hold a recess
143:24
by a couple of counselors so at this
143:26
time i'm going to
143:29
authorize a recess of five minutes
143:34
or ten minutes rather so let's be back
143:36
at 8 58
143:39
8 58.
153:14
recess
153:18
councilor johnston you have your hand up
153:20
and then uh
153:21
also counselor copeland
153:24
uh it was just stopped from before okay

153:27
counselor copeland all right we're kind
153:30
of like
153:31
we broke in the middle of a thought
153:32
pattern here but um
153:35
this local option sales tax is an
153:37
additional
153:38
tax like uh he was saying on our
153:41
residence
153:42
and i don't think the timing is right
153:44
for that
153:46
i think that uh i don't think we should
153:50
be sending this
153:52
quite frankly
153:58
any further discussion
154:08
well and and to continue you know we
154:11
have our local businesses here
154:13
who rent out places and we have all the
154:16
places downtown we don't want to send
154:17
them
154:18
to the other nearby towns we want to
154:20
support them
154:21
and uh so here doing this
154:25
to me right off looks like it's hurting
154:27
us who live here
154:28
we have to pay more at restaurants right
154:30
and uh
154:31
it's it has the potential to hurt our
154:33
local businesses
154:34
i i um they're talking
154:38

i i'm reading the bill now i it wasn't
154:40
in our packet but i'm reading it now
154:42
and we could you know get up to 100 one
154:45
percent more
154:46
so then our uh
154:49
all the businesses would have to change
154:51
their um
154:53
you know cash registers materials
154:55
whatever
154:56
and then it would have to be you know
154:59
they would have to
155:01
submit additional taxes so it's more of
155:03
a
155:04
a burden there too so um
155:07
with these three things the fact that it
155:09
would cost
155:10
our citizens more it would cost our
155:12
businesses more
155:13
and it would be more administrative uh
155:16
stuff
155:17
i would vote against it and i don't
155:19
think it's worth it
155:22
but we don't have any economic numbers
155:24
to see what the impact would be
155:26
and i think sending this clutter is
155:28
premature
155:30
councillor copeland there's no economic
155:32
economic numbers to
155:33
forecast we don't have a local option
155:36
sales tax

155:37
to speak of that is the design of this
155:40
is to
155:42
pass a local option sales tax and then
155:45
offer a referendum for each individual
155:47
community to opt in
155:49
as they see fit that's not saying that
155:52
every community is going to often
155:54
it's not saying that every community
155:55
isn't going to often
155:57
but as a service center for multiple
155:59
communities saco has
156:01
a lot of tourist action coming in and
156:04
the opioid
156:06
epidemic across the united states is
156:08
real
156:09
and we're feeling the impacts of those
156:11
dollars and our
156:13
our resources are being utilized
156:16
uh to deal with those issues so again
156:20
it's it's simply saying uh
156:23
giving the guidance to the
156:26
uh state senate uh and state
156:29
representatives
156:30
and governor that you know
156:33
folks are in support of these options
156:36
and then allowing communities to opt in
156:38
or opera
156:39
much like local control giving local
156:42
control to the communities
156:45

i hear that um but i mean
156:49
don't we have an active lawsuit with
156:51
some pharmaceutical thing that
156:52
is going nowhere i mean i don't know i
156:56
just think that
156:56
our citizenry and our businesses
156:59
would not benefit as you know
157:05
i don't buy it sorry well sending this
157:07
letter doesn't automatically mean it's
157:09
going to happen
157:10
sending the letter is in support of the
157:12
bill and then you would have to do a
157:14
referendum process
157:15
locally in your community to have them
157:19
opt-in
157:20
this is just saying hey we support the
157:22
legislature pushing it
157:23
but again it's not an it's not a issue
157:26
that has to happen now
157:28
it's not right away there's still time i
157:32
was just bringing it forward for a
157:33
discussion with the council
157:37
[Music]
157:41
councilor gunn and then councilor
157:43
johnson councilor gunn
157:45
thank you mr mayor i'll just say briefly
157:47
i fully appreciate um
157:50
where councilor copeland's coming from
157:52
and needless to say if this ever came to
157:54
a local referendum there would be a

157:56
serious debate on it and
157:57
merits of local tax versus
158:00
just what the state imposes and at the
158:03
same time you know what's considered
158:05
fair i mean
158:06
service use attacks or just a general
158:08
tax on everybody
158:10
the political parties seem to have
158:11
switched back and forth numerous times
158:13
over the last couple years so
158:15
needless to say it doesn't matter where
158:16
you stand on this though it's
158:19
everybody has a different opinion and it
158:21
kind of
158:22
varies though so i would be in favor of
158:24
sending a letter for the simple reason
158:26
of pushing the governor to
158:28
at least consider signing this uh just
158:30
to give us the option though because we
158:32
can have the debate on it like anything
158:33
else i mean
158:35
at this point the governor hasn't even
158:37
given us the chance to
158:39
debate it by just vetoing any of these
158:41
bills though so
158:42
i would push the uh i would support the
158:45
letter simply in principle and i'm
158:46
i'm saying that not even having made up
158:48
my mind whether i would
158:50

agree to a local sales tax myself though
158:53
but if for small d
158:54
democracy though you bring it down to
158:56
local level and if we have local control
158:58
and self
158:59
uh self government as a incorporated
159:01
city i think it's a right we should have
159:03
so i passed a letter just from the
159:05
principal thank you
159:07
thank you council again councillor
159:08
johnson then councilman on council
159:10
johnson
159:11
thank you um i again i
159:15
council copeland just sent this to me
159:16
during our little breaks was the first
159:18
time
159:18
i'm having an opportunity to digest it
159:21
but i
159:22
wholly and fully agree with her at this
159:24
point
159:25
i mean i've you know had mixed feelings
159:29
about this through the years as it's
159:30
been proposed
159:31
um i mean obviously my my own family
159:34
would be impacted by this i think it
159:36
puts us at a competitive disadvantage
159:38
if surrounding communities aren't doing
159:40
it but specifically with this when i
159:42
look at it
159:43
my problem is that we're tying a local

159:45
option to
159:46
the treatment of opioid use disorder i
159:48
rather just have
159:50
the conversation on the option the sales
159:53
tax option and the municipalities figure
159:55
out how to
159:56
spend that money first time specifically
159:59
to an opioid use
160:00
um so again with the short amount of
160:03
time i've had to digest it i
160:04
wouldn't really be in favor of sending
160:06
this letter
160:15
thank you councilor johnston councillor
160:17
menthorne
160:18
yes thank you mayor um i think we should
160:21
send the letter
160:23
it starts the discussion if if there's
160:25
pressure on augusta
160:27
to discuss it
160:30
at least it gets the topic out there and
160:32
on the table
160:33
for for those folks to have a discussion
160:36
in both houses
160:37
and to come up with something that might
160:39
be a compromise to this which may
160:43
in strings of timelines and let me get
160:47
more open-ended to the communities and
160:49
all that but if we don't push for it to
160:51
start
160:52

to initiate that discussion then it's
160:55
never an
160:55
option that we might have and one we
160:58
might need
160:59
in the future so i think that you know
161:02
there's
161:02
there's no harm in doing this it's
161:05
simply
161:05
asking them to have the discussion with
161:08
respect to the current bill
161:10
and i think there's more to be gained by
161:12
them having the discussion
161:14
and going nowhere with it than not
161:16
having the discussion to begin with
161:20
thank you councilman minthorn just so
161:23
the council is aware
161:24
uh there was a legislative document Id
161:27
1110
161:29
and that was in the that was in the 2019
161:33
session or the first session of the main
161:35
legislature
161:36
and that was an act to establish a local
161:38
option sales tax
161:39
uh and that uh that died
161:43
in the senate uh so that that bill it
161:46
does not have an
161:47
option whereas this other bill 1254
161:51
is still an option uh and so again
161:55
uh there's no there's no uh
161:58
there's no action tonight it's just

162:00
bringing it forward
162:02
to get it on to your attention uh
162:04
providing the legislative documents so
162:06
you can review further
162:09
and as we look we might be bringing this
162:12
forward as the legislature gets close to
162:15
going back into session but we wanted to
162:18
be
162:20
to provide this to you for some
162:25
to look into the future and and see how
162:27
you feel about it
162:30
any further discussion
162:34
if not we're going to move on to item b
162:37
which is the june budget review
162:41
andrew can you promote finance director
162:44
salas please
163:06
so
163:10
good evening everyone can you hear me
163:12
greetings and welcome finance director
163:14
salus we can hear you thank you for
163:16
joining us this evening
163:18
thank you very much uh so there was
163:21
a narrative of the
163:24
uh june budget review as well as
163:27
supporting
163:28
documentation included in the packet
163:30
that was sent to you
163:32
so i'm going to kind of review that
163:34
summary now
163:36

have any questions feel free to
163:39
stop me or we can address those
163:41
questions at the end
163:45
overall here to date the city has a net
163:48
use of fund balance
163:50
of 1.5 million uh this is largely due to
163:54
the trade
163:55
six million and assigned fund balance
163:58
and those were funds that had been
164:00
reserved in the general fund assigned
164:02
fund mounts for capital expenditures
164:05
those funds were transferred from the
164:07
general
164:08
to the new capital projects fund for the
164:11
updated capital
164:12
projects policy that was approved by
164:15
council
164:16
in the spring of 2019
164:19
expenses overall came in 107
164:22
125 dollars above budget
164:25
while revenues came in 115 000 30
164:29
above budget expenses
164:32
uh council approved the use of
164:34
unassigned fund balance through budget
164:35
amendments
164:37
in fy 2020 totaling
164:40
127 508
164:44
so overall this should be considered an
164:46
extremely favorable result
164:48
uh the city is forecasted to end the

164:50
year with undesignated fund balance
164:53
of 8 million 968 000 101
164:57
or 15.97 percent of the fy
165:00
2020 adopted budget this is very close
165:03
to the maximum
165:04
unassigned fund balance as approved by
165:06
council of 16.67 percent
165:10
uh digging into the departmental budgets
165:13
the assessor
165:15
on target there's small amount about 425
165:19
or 478 dollars over this
165:22
came out of the contracted services line
165:25
which was not properly budgeted for the
165:27
year this item has been corrected for
165:30
fiscal year 2021.
165:32
capital projects on target the
165:35
administration budget on target
165:37
at 2 000 has been encumbered to cover
165:39
the summer intern through august
165:42
this will be offset by a grant from the
165:44
state of maine and we should be
165:45
receiving that grant
165:47
in fiscal year 2021. city clerk was 2
165:51
over budget the general assistance
165:53
portion of the budget is tracking 8 500.
165:55
ahead
165:56
of budget um general assistance is
165:59
reimbursed by the state of two-thirds so
166:01
this overage will be
166:02

largely compensated for by the state um
166:05
we may be able to book a portion of this
166:07
reimbursement to fiscal year 2020
166:10
depending on timing of the receipt of
166:12
funds
166:13
under governmental accounting standards
166:15
and modified accrual accounting we do
166:17
have
166:17
we are able to book revenues receivable
166:20
that is received within 60 days of the
166:22
close of the fiscal year
166:25
um code enforcement uh one percent under
166:28
budget
166:29
due to clover 19 the training schedule
166:31
was curtailed
166:32
leading to savings on this line
166:35
contingency is the most significant item
166:37
that was over budget and this actually
166:39
is driving
166:40
basically the entire 107 000 or so that
166:43
we were over budget
166:45
um an analysis of accounts receivable
166:47
revealed that the city has been holding
166:49
surplus receivables on its balance sheet
166:51
totaling about 109
166:53
000 this surplus balance dates as far
166:57
back as 2016
166:59
from my research on the matter possibly
167:02
farther
167:04
an entry has been booked to write down

167:06
this balance
167:07
and the offsetting debit was booked to
167:09
contingency
167:10
as this is a material adjustment i just
167:12
wanted to address some likely questions
167:14
that could come out of this
167:16
um did the send city spend 109 000 out
167:20
of contingency
167:21
no we did not lay any out any actual
167:23
cash
167:24
our checking account has not been
167:25
affected rather this is an adjustment
167:28
down of an asset called accounts
167:29
receivable
167:30
on our balance sheet why were accounts
167:34
receivable so high
167:35
great question i mean just provide the
167:37
number of different reports on accounts
167:39
receivable
167:40
in reviewing the reporting that was used
167:42
to reconcile this
167:43
account in the past i identified that
167:46
application of
167:47
credit was not counted towards the
167:49
receivables balance
167:50
as a result the accounts receivable
167:52
account was consistently being inflated
167:54
by manual adjustments
167:56
i have reached out to munis to ascertain
167:58

why it is
167:59
that you can pull three different
168:01
accounts receivables
168:02
and have three different account
168:04
receivable amounts reported
168:06
um and i am getting some feedback uh but
168:09
i can confirm that
168:10
the accounts receivable account that we
168:12
are using now is the correct one because
168:14
i have tied out the activity
168:16
for the last couple of fiscal years
168:18
through this report exactly and it ties
168:20
out
168:21
did the city lose money no again no cash
168:25
outlay related to this adjustment
168:27
really what was going on is this higher
168:29
accounts receivable balance made our
168:31
unassigned fund balance
168:33
look higher than it was so with this
168:35
adjustment our undesigned fund balance
168:37
is more accurate
168:38
again we are looking to close the year
168:41
pretty close to the 16.67
168:44
target on unassigned fund balance versus
168:47
the fiscal year 2020 budget
168:49
so this adjustment isn't significantly
168:51
impacting
168:53
our uh work towards that goal
168:56
finally uh could this happen again and
168:59
the simple answer is no

169:00
um i have detailed the proper way to
169:03
reconcile accounts receivable
169:05
in our monthly reconciliation procedure
169:07
manual including screenshots and
169:09
identifying the correct reports to use
169:11
in our financial software
169:13
this document will act as a guide to
169:15
ensure accounts receivable
169:17
receivable balances tied or receivables
169:19
reporting correctly in the future
169:22
furthermore i have created a secondary
169:25
account for manual
169:26
end-of-year receivable adjustments to
169:28
meet modified approval standards
169:30
this ensures that billing receivables
169:32
will always tie to the general ledger
169:35
accounts receivable balance
169:38
finally general billing accounts
169:40
receivable reconciliation has been
169:42
added to our internal control narratives
169:44
which are reviewed with the auditors on
169:46
an annual basis
169:47
and it has been scheduled to be
169:49
completed orally
169:51
as this is a significant item were there
169:53
any additional council questions on this
169:55
specific adjustment
170:02
okay not seeing anything i'll continue
170:06
uh council uh council came in 30 under
170:09

budget
170:10
due to coven 19 uh certain amounts
170:13
appropriated for citizen recognition
170:15
miscellaneous expense and training were
170:17
not expended
170:19
penny tax right on target uh federal
170:22
assistance includes fifteen thousand
170:24
seven hundred and seventy five dollars
170:25
not budgeted uh we are using this
170:28
account to track qualified fema expenses
170:30
related to the copa 19
170:32
emergency and pandemic reimbursement is
170:35
expected in fiscal year 2021.
170:38
the finance department came in on target
170:42
buyer and ambulance came in one percent
170:44
over budget
170:46
a number of encumbrances have not been
170:48
properly cleared
170:49
finance department is struggling with
170:51
the staffing levels
170:53
we are working actively to correct those
170:54
items additionally holiday pay was not
170:57
properly budgeted in fiscal year 2020
170:59
we've discussed this item in the past
171:01
that was corrected for fiscal year 2021.
171:05
benefits came in two percent over budget
171:08
uh when
171:09
budgeting benefits for fiscal year 2020
171:12
our retirement contributions on overtime
171:14
pay were not

171:15
forecasted into our budgeted amount for
171:19
employer contributions to maine state
171:21
pension and to fica this was correct in
171:24
the fiscal year 2021 budget
171:27
the human resources department has come
171:29
in on target
171:31
information technology came in one
171:33
percent under budget
171:34
uh significant funds have been
171:36
encumbered these are to purchase
171:38
additional equipment and software
171:39
licenses to support
171:41
remote work made necessary by the kobe
171:43
19 pandemic
171:46
insurance came in 26 under budget uh
171:48
significant
171:50
finance worked with our partners at
171:52
paquin and carroll
171:54
to properly allocate insurance premiums
171:56
between the city
171:57
school and ellis fund and water resource
172:00
recovery department
172:01
the city have been paying an inflated
172:03
portion of the insurance premiums
172:05
leading to significant cost over rents
172:07
in the last couple of years
172:09
this was also corrected for the fiscal
172:11
year 2021 budget parks and recreation
172:14
came in three percent
172:15

under budget um many budget lines
172:18
related to pro programming costs
172:20
unfortunately were not fully utilized
172:22
after programming was shut down due to
172:24
coping 19 and these savings are
172:26
offset by lots of reparation fees on the
172:28
revenue side
172:31
planning and economic development came
172:33
in on target significant funds
172:35
encumbered here as well
172:37
for several significant projects in
172:39
fiscal year 2021
172:41
most notably the comprehensive plan
172:43
update completion of the zoning
172:45
ordinance revision
172:46
and a review of traffic impact and other
172:49
fees
172:50
the police department came in 4 under
172:53
budget
172:54
there were several positions open
172:55
throughout the year um
172:58
some of those savings have been
172:59
reutilized to meet departmental needs
173:01
otherwise elsewhere with approval
173:04
from the administrator and council
173:08
however the department will still net
173:10
significantly under budget
173:13
public works came in three percent under
173:15
budget work in the spring was slowed by
173:17
reduced staffing levels

173:19
i minimized the risk of
173:24
school budget right on target
173:27
the solicitor even 17
173:30
over budget uh planning and economic
173:34
development to move as many of these
173:36
solutions
173:38
agency and to qualify tip districts
173:41
however this budget still came in high
173:45
of our um partners uh
173:49
and some of our other lawyer law firms
173:51
this year
173:53
support target transfers were 16
173:57
over valuations came in higher than
174:00
anticipated when the budget was approved
174:03
this isn't really an over budget because
174:06
of course the just transfer amounts are
174:07
set by the assets are
174:09
not appropriated by council
174:12
and now i'm going to move over to the
174:14
revenue side
174:15
finance director salus there is a
174:18
question from councilman
174:20
thorne uh yes glennis are we at a point
174:25
with our solicitor expenses
174:29
overall where we should maybe
174:32
be looking at having an in-house
174:34
solicitor
174:37
because of the breadth and depth of
174:40
everything we're putting out in all the
174:41

various areas
174:43
having someone in-house that could maybe
174:46
do a lot of this stuff
174:48
internally and then
174:51
where it needs to go out for review from
174:55
tiff council or whatever the bulk of the
174:57
heavy lifting's already been done inside
175:00
at a lower cost than paying an outside
175:02
firm to do that
175:04
um it's an interesting question i would
175:07
defer to the city administrator on that
175:09
one
175:13
i wanted to bring it up because it seems
175:16
like i
175:16
i know we're over budget this year but
175:19
to me i would think and maybe councilor
175:22
copeland could chime in since she works
175:25
for a law firm
175:26
that some of this could probably be done
175:29
in-house
175:30
for a lesser cost than what we're paying
175:32
outside contractors
175:34
thank you well if i were to weigh in i
175:37
would say that
175:39
counselor copeland counselor copeland
175:40
please counselor johnson had his hand up
175:42
first
175:45
councilor johnson thank you uh i would
175:47
just say that
175:48
we've we've explored this in the past

175:50
probably before
175:51
maybe five years ago um
175:55
maybe we could re-explore it but at that
175:57
time it didn't
175:58
appear as though it would be more cost
176:01
effective to have
176:02
in-house and it would be
176:05
hard to find an attorney you know that
176:08
has the experience that would
176:10
probably want to work for those wages
176:11
we're thinking of that's what i recall
176:14
anyway
176:15
thank you thank you councilor johnston
176:16
councillor copeland
176:20
i would just say that we have a lot of
176:21
specialized needs
176:23
um and we have uh a city solicitor with
176:27
with general knowledge of our community
176:31
um and i've asked in the past to see the
176:34
legal bills to see
176:36
what it is that we're doing and i i've
176:38
never gotten them
176:39
so it's hard to say i mean if it's a lot
176:43
of just
176:43
general stuff looking at contracts and
176:45
things like that but when you get into
176:47
tips when you get into employment when
176:50
you you know
176:51
get into uh you know labor
176:55

negotiations that's all specialized
176:57
anyway so that's still going to happen
177:00
i don't know i don't know about that one
177:03
thank you counselor copeland councilor
177:06
johnston
177:08
i think i just left the hand up oh
177:11
sorry uh any uh any further discussion
177:16
uh if not uh finance director silas
177:18
please continue
177:20
all righty thank you so moving on to the
177:23
revenue side
177:24
um building rentals came in 16 of our
177:28
forecasts uh building rental fees are
177:30
now actually being built
177:32
uh by the finance department and so
177:34
we're booking that revenue as we bill it
177:37
uh the city clerk fees three percent
177:40
under forecast
177:41
so with city hall close to the public
177:43
public um
177:44
certain city clerk services were not
177:46
available so you know
177:48
it's not surprising the revenues came in
177:50
a little bit soft
177:51
not all of those clerk fees aren't
177:53
necessarily
177:55
um items that people have to get a
177:57
deadline
177:58
like you would with vehicle registration
178:01
foot enforcement fees were actually 34

178:04
over forecast so building and
178:06
construction activity remained very
178:08
strong through the spring even through
178:09
quarantine
178:11
code enforcement benefits from the long
178:12
development timeline
178:14
for a new development as well as
178:18
a flurry of home improvement projects
178:20
during foreign
178:23
communication cable fees are currently
178:25
20
178:26
under forecast typically we do book the
178:30
fourth quarter
178:31
um and typically we do receive that
178:33
payment within 60 days of the close of
178:35
the fiscal year so i am anticipating
178:37
that we'll see the balance of these
178:38
funds before i close the books
178:42
federal assistance uh there was um
178:47
1346 that's booked as a negative number
178:50
those are just a couple more expenses
178:52
schema qualified expenses they were
178:54
booked to revenue and error that's why
178:56
it's showing as a negative revenue
178:58
uh we're looking we're planning to
179:00
reclass those
179:02
uh finance fees and penalties came in
179:04
seven percent
179:05
under forecast late payment interest
179:08

penalties
179:09
and liens were delayed during quarantine
179:11
so again not surprising that this item
179:13
came in a little bit soft
179:15
firing ambulance transfer revenues 42
179:18
under forecast
179:20
uh we've had some issues with delays and
179:22
payments for medicare
179:23
along with reduced demand for ambulance
179:25
services during the warranty
179:27
period and so we had to
179:31
we had to cut off transfers from the
179:33
ambulance fund
179:35
because those revenues needed to be
179:37
replenished within that fund
179:40
interest earnings came in 39 over
179:43
forecast we had another amazing year
179:45
with interest earnings the repo market
179:47
was very strong
179:48
um our cash position was higher than
179:50
anticipated just due to the timing of
179:52
expenditures most of them related to the
179:54
new public works facility
179:57
that resulted in surplus interest
179:58
earnings of 115
180:00
575 since then unfortunately
180:05
uh interest rates have fallen
180:07
precipitously
180:08
they went from over two percent uh in
180:10
the first part of fiscal year 2020 to

180:13
less than 0.2 percent as of june
180:17
so we may need to consider some
180:19
alternatives for earning interest
180:21
revenues
180:22
um in fiscal year 2021. uh it's not
180:25
something that the city would of course
180:26
rush into
180:27
and and there are a lot of restrictions
180:29
from the state of maine in terms of
180:30
what our options even are for earning
180:32
money on our
180:34
on our assigned and undefined fund
180:36
balances but if we want to continue to
180:38
have strong interest earnings i think
180:40
we're going to have to look to be a
180:41
little bit more creative
180:44
parks and recreation fees 23 under
180:47
forecasts
180:48
again recreational programming was
180:50
unfortunately largely cancelled in the
180:52
spring due to cover 19.
180:55
there has been a small summer camp and
180:57
some recreational activities
180:58
in the summer but very limited and
181:02
we collect most of our fees for summer
181:04
recreation
181:06
in the spring and so our fees came in
181:09
pretty low
181:11
planning and economic development fees
181:12

on the other hand 69
181:14
over forecast so similar to code
181:16
enforcement strong development
181:18
interest in sacco tight cost recovery
181:20
procedure established
181:22
between finance and planning and
181:24
economic development have been strong
181:25
revenue performance
181:27
even through the spring when things were
181:29
things slow down a bit
181:32
the police department fees and revenues
181:34
58
181:35
under forecast this loss in revenue is
181:37
offset by savings on the expense side
181:39
and the police department
181:41
the most significant piece of this is
181:42
actually reduced uh revenue related to
181:44
the open and dea
181:46
position which is typically funded by
181:49
the state of maine when it is filled
181:53
public works revenues this is mostly
181:55
vehicle excise is
181:57
three percent over forecast this was
181:59
maybe a bit of a surprising result
182:02
given that city hall was close to the
182:03
public
182:05
the city does have the ability to book
182:07
excise
182:08
fees that were due in the spring when
182:11
city hall was closed to fiscal year 2020

182:14
so we'll be doing that
182:16
exercise in the next couple of weeks um
182:19
so
182:19
this performance will actually be even
182:21
stronger
182:22
however the finance department does have
182:24
a practice very conservative budgeting
182:26
revenues where we typically take the
182:28
last three years average
182:30
and so that's benefiting the city in
182:33
this case because even though
182:35
excise revenues were soft we still came
182:37
in under budget
182:40
real and personal property taxes were
182:42
three percent over budget
182:44
um there was a strong overlay in fiscal
182:46
year 2020
182:47
uh personal real estate tax revenues
182:49
were
182:50
due uh before quarantine began
182:53
so those two things combined together
182:55
have meant that we were able to collect
182:57
our whole amount
182:59
sale of city assets um it's 90
183:02
under forecast i mean we budget
183:04
basically a placeholder 10 000
183:06
amount here um in most cases where city
183:10
assets were sold departments
183:11
have booked them as a credit in their
183:13

expense line so they can
183:15
purchase replacement vehicles and
183:17
equipment
183:18
and then an unallocated is a similar
183:21
case where we just book a place on
183:23
folder 10 000 for any found revenues
183:26
that come in throughout the year
183:28
so it is under forecast but that's not a
183:31
significant finding
183:34
are there any questions on what i've
183:38
presented
183:45
finance director salus what was the
183:47
overlay just out of curiosity
183:50
um i do not have that number handy but i
183:54
can provide it i can provide it to
183:56
councils and follow up
183:57
thank you
184:03
any further questions for finance
184:04
director sales
184:12
finance director silas thank you for
184:13
joining us great presentation as always
184:16
thank you so much i appreciate your time
184:19
moving on to item c the unaccepted
184:21
portion of barrier road follow-up
184:32
city administrator kane rath will we be
184:33
hearing from
184:35
yourself planner prescott
184:38
or code enforcement director lambert on
184:41
this
184:43
we do have uh city planner or former

184:45
city planner emily kopreska
184:47
i believe available i think pat fox is
184:49
also available
184:50
for questions our public works director
184:53
um i know uh dick lambert code
184:56
enforcement director
184:57
did have another meeting tonight i know
184:59
he's tuning in but i'm not sure if that
185:00
other meeting is over or not yet so we
185:02
should have
185:03
a few people available to answer any
185:05
questions or give guidance
185:12
counselor johnson thank you um
185:15
unfortunately mr mayor and council i've
185:17
got to head off to work
185:19
um i did just want to say
185:22
that well before i leave i just as part
185:25
of the council discussion we're showing
185:27
this myth i just wanted to thank
185:29
emily cole prescott for her time as a
185:31
city planner
185:33
um i mean i thought she did a phenomenal
185:35
job so i just wanted to
185:37
do that out put that out publicly um
185:40
and i wish you could save this
185:42
discussion i'm gonna listen on my phone
185:44
but i gotta head out so have a good
185:46
night
185:47
have a good evening councilor johnson
186:08

with that is any questions
186:12
update again tonight uh it's just
186:16
uh an update from uh
186:23
councilman yes thank you mayor
186:26
uh pat i had a question i was reading
186:30
the planning board
186:33
packet this week with regard and i came
186:37
across the section about private roads
186:41
and in there they reference a 40 foot
186:44
width
186:44
in the newsdoor document
186:48
says the 50 foot
186:54
in a there's the
186:58
republic versus city
187:01
what's the requirement
187:07
um well councilman i'm not
187:10
uh as familiar with every detail of the
187:13
private road
187:14
uh ordinance as i am the public road
187:16
obviously but i think when you look at
187:18
what fits within the 50-foot
187:20
right-of-way of a public road
187:22
um you're trying to accommodate all of
187:24
the major utility lines
187:26
um including you know recent gas
187:28
expansion or any future utility that
187:30
could end up underground
187:32
uh you have sidewalks you tend to have
187:35
more fire hydrants um
187:38
public roads tend to be higher speed

187:40
than private roads so you're trying to
187:42
accommodate pedestrian separation so you
187:44
you'll
187:45
create esplanade so you just tend to use
187:47
up the 50 foot width a lot quicker with
187:49
a public road
187:50
um so i anticipate i i expect that
187:54
that's where that
187:55
came from originally okay um so any
187:58
public street we typically look for it
188:00
could be 50 feet
188:02
that way everything is a standard width
188:03
as you work down through and you don't
188:05
have as many encroachments on the public
188:06
way of
188:07
fences trees other visual obstructions
188:11
and whatnot
188:11
and you have room for future expansion
188:15
thank you so much
188:20
any further questions
188:27
thank you director fox code enforcement
188:29
officer lambert
188:31
and emily cole prescott for joining us
188:33
this evening
188:36
i don't think there's any further
188:38
questions at this time
188:43
so we will move on to the next agenda
188:46
item
188:47
which is administrative update item 11
188:50

city administrator kanras
188:55
thank you mr mayor just to first recap
188:57
on the keep maine
188:58
healthy program award as i reported last
189:01
time we were awarded
189:03
uh around 116 thousand dollars uh 116
189:07
735 dollars we have now
189:10
submitted uh invoices for reimbursement
189:12
of expenses already made covering june
189:14
8th through july 31st the amount of 57
189:18
834 and this is on a rolling monthly
189:20
basis that invoices
189:21
are uh submitted uh there is a round two
189:24
of funding as i'm sure you're aware
189:26
um the one piece with this though that
189:28
this round is given
189:30
to communities or municipalities um
189:33
that uh did not receive any funding in
189:36
the first round so
189:37
because we did our i think our award is
189:39
going to be
189:40
quite a bit less around two and so far
189:43
at least preliminarily we've received
189:45
about 6790
189:48
so far for round two and i'll have more
189:50
updates on this
189:51
going forward uh signs as you know we've
189:55
ordered and placed signs of the beast
189:58
beach promoting
189:59
social distancing and mask wearing while

190:01
on walkways
190:03
they are now in place we will continue
190:05
to add more
190:06
as needed and as appropriate we've also
190:08
ordered signs
190:10
stating dogs are not allowed on playing
190:11
fields and placed on that
190:13
a whole number of fields listed here in
190:15
my report
190:17
these signs the placement is now
190:19
underway there are some locations that
190:21
will require uh dick stay
190:22
to place the signpost so those are
190:26
underway
190:27
as we talked about at a future meeting
190:30
we will discuss
190:31
more um the dogs under voice command and
190:34
what
190:35
exactly is laid out in our ordinance a
190:38
reminder on elections nomination papers
190:40
are now available
190:41
for the school board seats awards two
190:43
four and six which will be on the
190:45
november third general election ballot
190:47
candidates are required to obtain 35
190:49
signatures of registered voters in their
190:51
respective awards
190:52
uh nomination papers will be due back to
190:54
the city clerk's office no later than
190:56

friday
190:57
february i'm sorry september 4th 2020 to
191:01
make the november ballot
191:03
we do have an rfp out for the sako iowa
191:06
multimodal bridge concept plan
191:08
the city of sacco is seeking proposals
191:10
from qualified firms to create a
191:12
feasibility and concept plan
191:14
for a multi-modal bridge across osaka
191:16
river and scenic falls
191:18
parallel to the railroad tracks between
191:20
sacco and biddeford
191:21
this bridge will be an extension of the
191:22
sacco biddeford riverwalk trail
191:24
connecting the sacco transportation
191:26
center
191:26
to the planned pearl street riverfront
191:28
district in biddeford
191:30
it will accommodate pedestrians and
191:32
bicyclists and possibly sport
191:34
transit shuttle service between stock
191:36
and biddeford completing this bridge is
191:38
a major step in creating a
191:39
transit-oriented development hub in sacco
191:41
and biddeford
191:42
as part of regional efforts supported by
191:44
pax
191:45
bsob transit and nepa as well as private
191:48
development partners
191:50
the proposed bridge is intended to be an

191:52
iconic destination for the region
191:53
supporting transportation
191:55
and recreation in the robust downtowns
191:57
and mill districts in sacramento
191:59
biddeford
192:00
all proposals should be received by 11am
192:02
on tuesday september 1st
192:04
any questions please direct them to
192:06
jessa berna who is our economic
192:08
development specialist by emailing her
192:10
at jberna at sacromaine.org
192:14
lastly an update on our annual report
192:16
finishing touches are being placed on
192:17
this document and we will have it ready
192:19
for council presentation
192:21
at our next meeting that's all i have
192:23
thank you happy to take
192:24
any questions thank you city
192:27
administrator kanrath any questions for
192:28
city administrator
192:31
counselor called thank you mr mayor
192:35
um mr cameron i um
192:39
i i can't get where this bridge
192:43
is going to be can you help me
192:45
understand what that is
192:47
um you know i cannot really but if you'd
192:49
like we can have a uh
192:51
an update maybe with jessa that a future
192:53
mean to explain more detail i don't have
192:55

the exact
192:56
coordinates or anything but this is um
192:58
these are this was a
193:00
fun grant
193:04
um discussed and planned with a variety
193:05
of partners
193:07
so uh we can happy to get you more
193:08
information
193:10
zach uh all right well and um
193:14
i'm just curious i mean we've been
193:16
looking at
193:19
a a bridge from saku island
193:23
over by the
193:26
riverwalk and developing all that and um
193:30
this seems i
193:33
i'm on the parks and uh
193:37
rec advisory board i'm on the
193:39
conservation commission first i'm
193:40
hearing of this one
193:44
uh happy to speak of the planning and
193:45
development
193:47
more information or perhaps even have a
193:49
meeting or bring them to a future
193:50
council meeting for
193:52
a conversation
193:58
okay because i i i'm feeling blindsided
194:02
this hasn't been vetted through any of
194:03
our
194:04
it hasn't been an idea that's been
194:05
pushed from any of our committees

194:08
um i don't know we've had other ideas we
194:12
had uh the idea about the uh
194:16
continuing the eastern trail along the
194:18
um
194:19
the railroad lines over there so we've
194:21
had some other ideas and uh
194:24
i don't know how this one got through
194:26
without
194:28
talking to the committees and listening
194:30
to
194:31
their suggestions about the other two
194:33
ideas already out there
194:36
counselor copeland i i think that as the
194:38
city administrator alluded to this is uh
194:40
in
194:41
relation to the sewell grant monies that
194:44
sacco main street applied for
194:46
uh and was re was awarded uh
194:49
the the certain amount of money uh for a
194:52
pedestrian bridge
194:53
that was originally talked about going
194:55
underneath
194:57
uh the the current bridge that would
195:00
connect
195:01
i know what you mean the one over by uh
195:04
by
195:04
by run of them yeah yeah right right
195:08
but that was originally supposed to go
195:10
across to the riverside wall
195:12

when that develops south walnuts was
195:14
being developed and it wasn't and then
195:16
administrator sutherland diverted it to
195:19
uh
195:20
over there and when that didn't happen
195:24
he did something else but i don't even
195:26
know it
195:27
i'm not doing any diverting
195:30
you i'm talking about your predecessor
195:35
i think that the the the plan has come
195:38
uh through the sacco main street and
195:41
through economic development
195:42
uh and that's why i think city
195:44
administrator kanrath is
195:48
you know his idea to bring in economic
195:50
development to talk about exactly how
195:52
this came about and answer some of those
195:55
pertinent questions you have at the next
195:57
council meeting makes sense
196:00
thank you
196:03
believe that we haven't vetted it
196:04
through our committees
196:06
honestly council this this i think was
196:08
already in the works before my time here
196:10
so i'm not even
196:11
100 up to date on this um
196:14
my job tonight is i want to make sure to
196:15
publicly announce the rfp
196:17
uh project but maybe we'd all uh
196:22
maybe we'd all benefit from having

196:23
another session on on this topic
196:25
please any further questions for
196:30
city administrative camera
196:38
brian thank you very much
196:41
with that we are up to item 12 council
196:44
discussion in common
196:46
any council discussion and comment
196:49
there's been quite a lot tonight
196:56
any further
197:06
okay see no further discussion and
197:10
comment
197:12
we are bypassing items 13 and 14 as
197:15
there's no executive session on tonight
197:17
so with that brings us to item
197:21
to adjourn
197:30
discussion roll call vote council archer
197:34
hi council of purdy
197:40
counselor gun yes
197:43
copeland
197:46
minthorn councilman mcphail
197:49
yes and council uh
197:52
the motion passes uh six zero and one
197:57
uh with uh counselor johnston not here
198:00
tonight
198:01
uh or not here at this moment so six
198:04
zero will be the official rule
198:07
uh with that i want to thank everybody
198:09
for your work tonight
198:11
and and uh your diligence on behalf of
198:15

our community and uh be well and stay
198:18
safe
198:20
take care
198:48
you